John the Solitary's Homily on the Poor in Spirit: Edition and Translation

Daniel Robert Marolf
Abilene Christian University, drm09a@acu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.acu.edu/etd
Part of the Biblical Studies Commons, Christianity Commons, and the History of Christianity Commons

Recommended Citation
ABSTRACT

This thesis examines an early fifth-century homily by John the Solitary of Apamea on Matthew 5:3, which I have called Homily on the Poor in Spirit. Mar John wrote the homily to other monks, arguing for the importance of renunciation and voluntary poverty in the life of a monk and exhorting them to develop this practice in their own lives. Although he is understood to be a prominent and influential author during his time, Mar John has received relatively little attention by modern scholarship. Neither an edition nor a translation of the Homily on the Poor in Spirit has been published, and the work remains almost entirely unstudied. The primary goal of this project is to produce a Syriac edition and English translation of the homily, in order that it might be accessible to scholars and students alike.

In addition to the edition and translation, the thesis contains a rhetorical analysis, which identifies and examines Mar John’s use of Scripture, from mere echoes to direct quotations, throughout the homily. Scripture is prominent in the homily; Mar John echoes or quotes the Bible over twenty-five times. After collecting and categorizing the references, they have been studied in order to ascertain how they are used by Mar John within the argument presented in the homily. The analysis clearly shows that he uses Scripture to present exemplars of renunciation for the monks to imitate, to offer practical advice and wisdom, and to provide additional authority to the argument in general.
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Since the early beginnings of the faith, starting with Judaism and extending into Christianity, the Holy Scriptures have held an important place in the eyes of the faithful. Enormous amounts of attention throughout the history of the Church have been paid to the reading and interpretation of the Bible, and many additional texts have been produced that interpret, discuss, and comment upon the texts of Scripture. Many of these spiritual treatises written about Scripture are then themselves highly revered, as readers find them to be illuminating and helpful aids for reading the Bible and attempting to live the life of faith. Unfortunately, many of these writings have been lost over time and many others simply remain unexamined and inaccessible to the wider public. Such is the case with John of Apamea’s Homily on the Poor in Spirit.

Mar John\footnote{“Mar” is a Syriac word, which means “Lord.” This is often a word used to refer to God, but it also came to be used as a title of great respect and reverence, used of saints and spiritual heroes.} was an influential, Christian monk, who wrote spiritual treatises during the first half of the fifth century. While his influence on several important authors within the Syriac tradition has been noted by scholars, relatively little attention has been given to his work as a whole. Consequently, many of his works have been identified, but most have not been edited or translated, let alone studied. His Homily on the Poor in Spirit, an exhortatory work based on Matthew 5:3, is one of these works. The primary goal of this study is to contribute to the study of Mar John’s corpus by providing an edition and
translation of this treatise. Chapter 1 of this thesis provides an introduction to Syriac asceticism, to Mar John as a historical figure and as an author, and to this spiritual treatise. The Syriac edition and English translation can be found in chapter 2, which has been structured in such a way as to be helpful and accessible to both scholars of Syriac and those who are not. The edition was transcribed and edited, utilizing the best current practices of paleographical analysis and text editing in order to produce a Syriac version of the text. Additionally, the most current grammatical and lexical tools were applied in order to ensure an accurate reading. Of particular interest is the way in which Mar John uses Scripture throughout the homily. This is examined in Chapter 3, which provides a rhetorical analysis of the manner by which Mar John uses Scripture to undergird his argument throughout the homily. These references to Scripture were identified and categorized using rigorous text-critical criteria for patristic citations. Then, they were analyzed for their rhetorical function based on a method regarding intertextuality, which was modified from Richard Hays’ *Echoes of Scripture in the Letters of Paul.*

**Syrian Asceticism**

Since Mar John and his work are both products of Syrian asceticism and monasticism, something must be said about this movement in general. Prior to the fourth century, Syriac Christianity is somewhat unknown. There are very few primary source materials that exist prior to the fourth century when authors such as Ephrem and Aprahat


3. Text editing will follow the standards set for the eminent series, Corpus Scriptorum Christianorum Orientalium (CSCO).

began to write.⁵ For this reason, we will need to rely some on the ascetic and monastic movements in general. Marilyn Dunn defines asceticism as “a discipline or collectivity of disciplines which aim at the transformation of the self and the construction of a new one.”⁶ Most often, this involved some form of “abstention or avoidance”⁷ of things which were perceived to be “stumbling blocks to the pursuit of heroic personal or communal goals, life styles, and commitments.”⁸ However, at the heart of asceticism was the belief that human beings could be transformed and improved through ascetic practice. For this reason, ascetics engaged in practices like fasting, renunciation, and celibacy in order to help themselves achieve a single-minded focus on the things of God and become ever more transformed into his likeness. Their focus on self-disciplining the body was not simply for its own sake, but for the sake of improving “the self.”⁹

Specifically, Syriac asceticism and monasticism of the fourth and fifth centuries has been characterized in a couple different ways. First, it has been viewed as more radically ascetic than similar movements in the West. While there seems to be some truth in this assertion due to groups such as the Stylites arising in the East, Sidney Griffith encourages students of Church History to hold off on broad, sweeping characterizations. Additionally, he notes that some of this understanding of Syrian asceticism can be ascribed to the mistaken attribution of five Syriac ascetic texts to St. Ephrem the Syrian,

---


⁸. Ibid., 2.

when they were actually written long after Ephrem’s death. Second, much evidence exists to suggest that ascetics in Syria tended to remain in and around the church, providing wisdom and guidance. The offices of the īḥidayē (“solitaries”)11 and bnay qyamā (“children of the covenant”) were created for these purposes and were highly respected within the church.

Scripture was a highly significant and important part of the life of the ascetic. The monks viewed the words of Scripture (and the writings of the saints of the Church) not as simply limited to the past, but as words and ideas that transcend and that could be lived into.12 Furthermore, they understood Scripture to have more than just one meaning. Therefore, they could read portions of the Old and New Testament and reinterpret those texts for their own times and places. Additionally, the monks primarily interpreted Scripture by putting it into practice. As Douglas Burton-Christie eloquently explains, “In the desert, Scripture’s surplus of meaning endured not in the form of commentaries or homilies but in acts and gestures, in lives of holiness transformed by dialogue with Scripture.”13 In this way, people came to be influenced both by reading the texts and by experiencing the texts embodied in a holy person, and a person’s holiness was defined “by how deeply [that] person allowed himself or herself to be transformed by the words


11. Discussed later in conjunction with Mar John and his likely inclusion in this office.


13. Ibid., 20.
of Scripture.” As a product of this specific time and context, Mar John conceives of Scripture in these ways, and this understanding of Scripture’s way of functioning within ascetic life and practice will prove to be an important factor in the rhetorical analysis section (chapter 3).

John the Solitary of Apamea

Having now considered Mar John’s thought world, we must now ask some questions about the man himself. Over the course of history, Mar John the Solitary has been confused with a few other “Johns”; indeed scholars have debated exactly how many “Johns” with which this author should be identified. Some have said that there is, in fact, just the one John, and others have claimed as many as three. However, current scholarship seems to favor the identification of at least two or three, so that it is important to distinguish our Mar John:

1. A certain John of Apamea, whom Philoxenos of Mabbug (d. 523) rejected as heretical (also referring to him as “John the Egyptian”).

2. A certain John the Solitary, whom Timothy I of the Church of the East condemned in 786-7 CE; he seems to have been referring to an eighth-century writer, not the writer of this homily.

3. The third John, usually referred to as John of Apamea or John the Solitary, both names of which seem to be referring to the same person.


15. René Lavenant, “Le problème de Jean d’Apamée” OCP 46.2 (1980): 367-90. In this article, Lavenant describes the arguments of both Werner Strothmann and Irenée Hausherr. Strothmann is the main proponent for the singular John theory. Hausherr argues for the three-John theory.

This third John is given credit for the authorship of several works, including a commentary on Qohelet (Ecclesiastes), a discourse on prayer, several letters and dialogues, a discourse on the soul, and several homilies on the beatitudes, including the *Homily on the Poor in Spirit*, which is the subject of the present thesis. In short, the author of the latter homily is taken to be the third John listed above and is to be distinguished from the others listed.

Mar John the Solitary was an influential Christian monk during the first half of the fifth century. He lived in and wrote from Apamea, which was a Hellenistic city within Syria (about 90 km south of Antioch). Due to the Hellenistic influence on the city as well as evidence found in his works, he was most likely educated both in Syriac and in Greek, but his writings have been preserved solely in Syriac. Furthermore, it is nearly universally believed that all of the texts attributed to him were written in Syriac in the first place.


From his title, “The Solitary” (īḥīdayā), one can ascertain some additional things about him. In the fourth-century writings of Ephrem and Aphrahat, īḥīdayā was a term used to describe both men and women who were typically celibate (therefore, single with regards to their bodies), single-minded in purpose and devotion to God, and who had a special relationship with Jesus Christ, “The Single One,” of whom the term was also used. The īḥīdayē (plural form of īḥīdayā) occupied a special place and status within the church, not as ministers, bishops, or deacons as those offices came to function. Sidney Griffith compares the office of īḥīdayā to that of the virgins and widows in the New Testament and early church. Therefore, Mar John would have been recognized by the designation “the Solitary” (īḥīdayā) as a holy man with some authority to speak on spiritual matters.

Mar John’s reception by later authors is impressive. He is cited by Babai (ca. 551-628) as a prominent spiritual figure, placed among the ranks of Theodore of Mopsuestia (ca. 350-428) and Evagrius of Pontus (ca. 345-399). Dadisho of Beth Qatraya, a prominent seventh-century ascetic and author, quotes Mar John frequently and refers to him as “a seer and a prophet.” Additionally, Sebastian Brock has shown that Mar John heavily influenced Joseph the Visionary (eighth-century) and Isaac of Ninevah (late seventh-century) in their writings. All of these authors come from the Church of the


26. Ibid., 223.


28. Ibid., 99.

East, but Mar John’s influence extended even into the ranks of the Syrian Orthodox Church, as he is honored by Moses Bar-Kepha (d. 903), Dionysius Bar-Salibi (d. 1171), and Bar Hebraeus (d. 1286).\textsuperscript{30} Having secured such a following by prominent theologians on both sides of a theological divide, Mar John is clearly influential. Furthermore, André de Halleux suggests that Mar John can be seen as a link between Aphrahat, Ephrem, and the Book of Steps, from the early period of Syriac patristic literature, and the later authors Philoxenos and Saint Isaac the Syrian. He posits the potential dependence of Philoxenos on Mar John’s corpus.\textsuperscript{31} Several ancient authors also adopt “his conceptualization of the tripartite ‘orders’ of the spiritual life,”\textsuperscript{32} as he creates a threefold schema, distinguishing between the way of the life of the body, that of the soul, and that of the spirit.\textsuperscript{33}

Unfortunately, even though he is noted as having influenced so many people, he has received relatively little attention from modern scholarship. Over the past hundred years, only four of his works have been translated into English,\textsuperscript{34} two into French,\textsuperscript{35}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{30} Vööbus, History of Asceticism in the Syrian Orient, 3:99.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{32} Kitchen, “Yoḥanan Iḥidayaw,” EDSH, 442.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{33} Hansbury, John the Solitary On the Soul, xi; Brock, Brief History of Syriac Literature, 31-2.
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\end{flushright}

\begin{flushright}
\end{flushright}
two into German, and one has been published just in a Syriac edition. Many other works of Mar John remained untranslated and relatively unstudied, including three other homilies on beatitudes. More work needs to be done on this eminent author, and the hope is that this thesis contributes in some way to filling this major gap in knowledge of study of Mar John the Solitary.

**Homily on the Poor in Spirit**

The present thesis is based on Mar John’s *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* (*HPS*), which is a homily formed around Matthew 5:3, “Blessed are the poor in Spirit, for theirs is the Kingdom of Heaven.” As Manel Nin points out, the text has less to do with exegesis and more to do with exhortation. In other words, the homily does not necessarily try to ascertain the original meaning of Jesus’ words, as one might expect to see in a modern commentary on Matthew, but instead attempts to use these words as a platform for a discussion about renunciation. In the homily, Mar John primarily addresses other monks, or as the first line of the homily describes them, “…those who seek to draw near to the virtuous glory of the perfect way of life.” He never uses terms that mean “monk” or “ascetic,” but one can infer from his use of the word “brothers” and the


40. *HPS* 1 (pg. 20 below).

41. *HPS* 11 (pg. 30 below) and *HPS* 19 (pg. 36 below); I translated it as “brothers and sisters” in both cases for the sake of gender neutrality (see pg. 16-7), but the word is literally “brothers.”
contents of his discussion about separation from the world\textsuperscript{42} and union with Christ,\textsuperscript{43} that his primary audience is, precisely, fellow ascetics.

Some work has already been done on this homily by Manel Nin. He published an article in Italian that gives an overview of the text\textsuperscript{44} and translated several of homilies on the beatitudes in his dissertation.\textsuperscript{45} Other than Manel Nin, there has been no work done on Mar John’s \textit{Homily on the Poor in Spirit}. It remains effectively unedited and untranslanted, leaving a gap in our knowledge of this important ascetic author. This thesis aims to bridge that gap, providing a Syriac text and English translation of the \textit{Homily on the Poor in Spirit}. The hope is that this work can help gain some traction in the field of Syriac studies for the study of John the Solitary.

\textsuperscript{42} \textit{HPS} 1 (pg. 20 below).
\textsuperscript{43} \textit{HPS} 7 (pg. 27 below).
\textsuperscript{44} Nin, “Il Commento di Giovanni il Solitario a Mt. 5,3.”
\textsuperscript{45} Nin’s dissertation was completed for the Institutum Patristicum Augustinianum in Rome, Italy, in 1992. It was entitled “Juan el Solitario. Los Cinco Discursos sobre las Bienaventuranzas.” From the name and additional research, it seems that this work was a text and translation into Spanish of five homilies of John the Solitary on the Beatitudes. In my research, I have only been able to identify four homilies on the beatitudes by Mar John, so I am unsure what fifth homily Nin is referencing. Unfortunately, I have not yet been able to consult this dissertation.
CHAPTER 2

HOMILY ON THE POOR IN SPIRIT: EDITION AND TRANSLATION

This edition and translation of Mar John the Solitary’s *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* is intended to be accessible and helpful to both those who read Syriac and those who do not. To that end, the goal has been to produce the Syriac text with an English translation in parallel columns. On the English side, important notes and alternative translations will be provided in order to facilitate the reader’s understanding of the Syriac and appreciation of the author’s message, his reliance on Scripture, and so forth. In the Syriac column, the reader will find notes about the manuscript and uncertain or corrected readings. Both columns divide their texts into numbered paragraphs, devised by the editor for easier readability and to aid the reader in comparing the text and translation. Also, references to locations in the manuscript will consist of the folio number along with an “r” (for *recto*) or a “v” (for *verso*) to describe the orientation of the page. For example, the lemma of the homily starts on the bottom of the right column on the *recto* side of folio 14. In this case, the notation would be 14r.

**Editorial Notes**

The Syriac edition below is a diplomatic text based on BrM 573/Add 17170. This manuscript was chosen as the base text for two main reasons: (1) Add 17170 contains the full text of Mar John’s *Homily on the Poor in Spirit*. There are four other manuscripts that contain parts of this text, and two of those also provide the full text as well. The other
two only contain minor sections.\(^1\) (2) Add 17170 is the oldest extant manuscript of this homily. This manuscript comes from the eighth century, and three of the other four manuscripts containing this homily are from the tenth century or later. The fourth is Add 12170, which is dated between the eighth and ninth centuries, but it contains only a fragment of the homily.\(^2\) Therefore, Add 17170 contains the oldest complete version of the homily, making it the best choice for the text presented here.\(^3\) Additionally, this manuscript is very well preserved and produced by a relatively legible hand.

The basic details of the manuscript are as follows:\(^4\) it is a \(9\frac{1}{2}\) in. by \(6\frac{5}{8}\) in. vellum manuscript, consisting of 88 leaves with two columns on each page, and recording various works of Mar John the Solitary, including works covering two additional beatitudes, Matthew 5:8 and Matthew 5:9. Due to a note in the colophon in which the scribe records the date, this manuscript can be dated confidently to between 774 and 775 CE. The hand is that of a regular estrangelo, using black ink, aside from a rubricated lemma and some sporadic rubrication in the punctuation.\(^5\) Every folio has two columns per side, with between 25 and 38 lines per column. The *Homily on the Poor in Spirit*,

---

2. Ibid., 13.
3. The scope of this thesis is limited, so I am just creating a diplomatic text instead of a critical edition. As I mention later in the paper, producing a critical edition would be an important next step in the study of this text.
4. William Wright, *Catalogue of Syriac Manuscripts*, 2:454-8; I am indebted to the Center for the Study of Ancient Religious Texts (CSART) for the purchase of these manuscript images for the use of my thesis project and for several other helpful resources.
specifically, occurs on folios 14r–27r (13 leaves), in a section that has roughly 30 lines per column.

The Syriac edition below maintains the use of the estrangelo script. Rubrication is indicated in the edition by the use of underlining. This occurs within the Syriac text of the lemma. However, the red ink within the punctuation markings throughout has been tacitly omitted. The scribe strives to justify the lines in order to produce uniform columns, producing features that are tacitly omitted from the presentation of the text. For the sake of illustration, here are the main techniques the scribe uses to justify the text:

(1) In certain cases, the scribe splits a word, placing the first part of it on one line and finishing it on the next. He gives no indication for having done this, but simply expects the reader to notice and understand. One such case occurs on 14r with the word, ܡܬܩܪܒܢܘܬܗܘܢ.

(2) Oftentimes, the scribe lengthens the distance between letters in the same word, lengthening the horizontal joiners within word. Similarly, he may draw a horizontal line directly after a word, in order to complete the line of the column.

(3) In several instances, the scribe writes a sersto letter alef rather than an estrangelo letter alef in order to conserve space at the end of a line. For example, he does this with the word ܘܢܬܒܩܐ on 19v.

In the Syriac column, to indicate a transition between the folio, the folio number has been placed in parentheses along with either “r” or “v,” indicating which folio and on what side the following text can be found. ⁶

---

⁶ This system is omitted from the English column because it is not helpful in aiding the translation and the paragraph numbering system is already in place to line up the texts.
All diacritical and vowel marks are reproduced without alteration as they appear in Add 17170. As is often the case in Syriac manuscripts, the scribe is somewhat inconsistent in his use of punctuation. The edition represents the punctuation as accurately and consistently as can be determined from the manuscript. Also, the scribe uses some punctuation marks for which no simple glyphs occur in available electronic fonts. These are described briefly below:

1. Three dots arranged in a vertical line, of which the top and bottom are red and the middle dot is black. A good example can be seen on 14v. Lacking the glyph for this mark, the full stop or paragraph marker (॰) has been used in the edition.

2. Three horizontal dots, of which the left and right dot are red and the middle one is black. A good example of this mark occurs on 15r, and it will be treated just like the horizontal dots (discussed above), using a full stop (॰).

3. Three diagonal black dots. Two instances of this are on 16r. Lacking the glyph, I have attempted to replicate it, by placing a period immediately after a slanted partial stop (e.g. ..).

While there are no discernible erasures in the portion of the manuscript in which this homily occurs, there are several clear instances of at least two other hands at work. Throughout the homily, a later scribe or reader added “cross-like” symbols in the margins next to the text. They appear to mark off sections of text either for liturgical or personal reading and reference. These marginal symbols are not original and have been

---

7. In one case, there is a pair of what look to be “upright” shin used in a similar way to these “cross-like” symbols.

8. In a few instances, one can recognize a faint earlier cross in the margin that has faded, with another cross inked on top of it.
omitted from the edition. Additionally, there are situations where a later hand has re-inked faded text, but careful examination indicates they are not corrections as such. These occur sporadically and have been tacitly omitted from the edition as well. Lastly, in several places, words appear to have been added either at the very end of a line or in the gap between words. Since it is not clear whether these were additions by a later hand or just shortly after by the same or contemporaneous scribe, these have been footnoted in the Syriac edition.

**Translation Policy**

The English translation of the homily was created with the following principles and guidelines in mind:

1. **Dynamic Equivalency**

   This translation of the *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* is intended to remain as close as possible to the sense of the text. Wherever possible, the syntactical structure of the Syriac has been retained, but not in cases where it would lead to an unnecessarily confusing translation. In such cases, the intention is to preserve the meaning of the Syriac text, not simply the words, and to provide a meaning for meaning translation. Furthermore, attention has been given to a sensible rather than formal rendering of idioms. For instance, on 15v Mar John uses the phrase *šaqlāʾ wašrāyāʾ,* which literally means “taking and releasing.” However, this is a known Syriac idiom,

---

9. For the reader who would like to examine these, refer to folio 15v in the manuscript for one example.

10. This translation method is based on the Translation Policy of *The Syriac Peshitta Bible with English Translation: Matthew* (Jeff Childers, *The Syriac Peshitta Bible with English Translation: Matthew* [Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2012], xvii-xxi.).

11. *HPS* 6 (pg. 25 below).
meaning “trade and commerce.” This translation will take idioms, such as this one, into account, utilizing dynamic translations as opposed to woodenly literal renderings of words. Another example comes from Mar John’s style of writing, which involves the use of complex syntactical forms. The Syriac language accommodates this style very nicely, but such is not the case in English. In several places in the homily, Mar John composed “sentences” which are half a page long or more. In these situations, the sentence has been broken up into shorter sentences for the sake of providing a more readable English translation.

Gender Inclusivity

The Syriac language often uses gendered language for objects and concepts that would be considered neutral gender in English. In these cases, where the context indicates gender neutrality, the translation opts for gender inclusive language. This has been done in several ways, but here are a few examples: (1) on 15v, there is a sentence which literally reads, “For there is not one from among people who seeks to draw near to the height of good things unless first, he has been convinced by himself to possess very little.” There is nothing distinctly masculine to the concept in view here, so the translation uses the plural to create a more gender neutral rendering. (2) Earlier on that same column (15v), there is a sentence which literally reads, “For he whose mind is bound by wealth cannot withdraw from him (i.e. the wealth) unless his mind assents to


13. HPS 6 (pg. 26 below).

14. “For no one seeks to draw near to the height of good things unless first they have been convinced by themselves to possess very little.”
meagerness of *his* possessions.” The gendered language in this sentence makes it more confusing to read and understand, so the translation uses “it” as the direct object which refers to the wealth (a grammatically masculine word), removes extraneous pronouns, and uses “his or her mind” because the gender of the person is not directly specified.

(3) If the sentence ever begins with “the one who…,” “the person who…,” or “whoever” and is followed by a pronoun, that is an instance of gender neutrality. The Syriac solely uses masculine pronouns in this case, but this translation will alternate between genders. There are, however, some instances where the context requires a gender-specific reading, and, in these cases, the grammatical gender has been retained. For example, on 24r, Mar John gives Moses as an example of incredible self-emptying. In all of the places where Moses is the subject, Mar John’s use of masculine pronouns has been retained.

Explicit subjects and objects

In general, Syriac, when compared to English, is more comfortable with vague or unstated subjects and objects. The Syriac reader is expected to be able to make sense of these instances. However, in the English language, implicit or vague subjects and objects tend to be made more clear and explicit. To avoid ambiguity, implied and/or vague subjects or objects have been bracketed in my translation for the sake of readability in the places where a more literal rendering of the Syriac would lead to confusion in the English. For example, on 15r Mar John literally writes, “For they are lower than *their* majesty just as *their* knowledge is elevated above those who are in the world.” Two different groups are being contrasted in this sentence, but Mar John uses third person.

15. *HPS* 6 (pg. 26 below).

16. “For one whose mind is bound by wealth cannot withdraw from it unless his or her mind assents to meagerness of possessions.”
masculine, plural pronouns to talk about both. I have translated the text to read, “For [the former] are lower than the majesty [of the latter] just as the knowledge of [the latter] is elevated above those who are in the world.” This translation improves the readability of the passage by removing the ambiguity.

Translating “Poverty” and Other Loaded Ascetic Terms

In this homily, Mar John uses several different words that all essentially mean “poverty.” These words are: meskīnūtā (used 11 times), snīqūtā (9 times), msarqūtā (5 times), and ṣrīqūtā (2 times). These are translated contextually, but for the sake of continuity, I have attempted to use the synonyms consistently. All five times that msarqūtā occurs in the text, I have translated it “renunciation,” and I have not used renunciation as a translation for any other word. I have translated ṣrīqūtā once as “voluntary poverty” and once as “need.” For the nine instances of snīqūtā, I have translated it as “poverty” five times, “neediness” twice, and “need” twice. Finally, all eleven instances of meskīnūtā have been translated “poverty.”

In the English translation, instances of citation and allusion to Scripture are indicated in the footnotes. For those places where biblical references are fairly explicit, the verse reference is simply stated in the footnote (Matthew 5:3). For places where they are implicit or understated, the reference has been suggested to the reader in a footnote, using the Latin abbreviation for “compare” (cf. Matthew 5:3). In the analytical section

17. HPS 5 (pg. 23-4 below).

18. I have chosen “renunciation” as a translation of msarqūtā because of the inherent theological connotations of this word. Msarqūtā is a word that was created based on Christ’s kenotic act in Philippians 2. For this reason, I went with “renunciation” because I think it carries some of the weight of the Philippians 2 passage, while remaining true to what Mar John is trying to discuss. For more discussion on this topic, see Sebastian Brock, “Radical Renunciation: The Ideal of msarrqûtâ.” in To Train His Soul in Books, ed. Robin Darling Young and Monica Blanchard (Washington, D.C.: Catholic University of America Press, 2011), 122-33.
(Chapter 3), more explanation will be provided in categorizing the citations and allusions based on their varying levels of explicitness, but these two categories provide sufficient nuance in the translation.

Footnotes in the English column are intended to help non-Syriac readers with alternative translations and explanations for the text. For this purpose, several other notations and abbreviations can be commonly found.

- Lit. stands for “literally” and has been used in cases when I have had to stray further away from the literal meaning of the Syriac text. In these cases, I have provided a more “wooden” and literal translation of the Syriac.

- Footnotes that begin with the phrase “in other words” provide clarifications of vague or confusing concepts or sentences. In places where I think something needs to be explained to facilitate understanding, I use a footnote like this.

- Bracketed words are used for anything added by me to clarify the translation of the text. As mentioned earlier, the Syriac language can tend towards ambiguity, especially with regard to subjects and objects. In cases where I think that there might be unnecessary ambiguity (not limited to just ambiguous subjects and objects), I have supplied words in the translation, but bracketed them off, so that the reader knows they are my inclusions.

Finally, Mar John’s *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* is a challenging text. The author is capable of writing highly sophisticated, discursive prose with a great deal of abstract
concepts and sustained argumentation. His style is complicated by regular shifts in genre (e.g. from discourse to doxology to exhortation, and back again). For the sake of rendering the style and argument as transparent as possible, this translation strives for a very literal rendering of the Syriac. In several places, the precise sense of the text remains unclear. Nevertheless, the contents and basic sense of Mar John’s arguments are clear, illuminating a great exegete, theologian, and spiritual director in action.

**Edition and Translation**

Now again, Memre\(^{19}\) from the same Mar John the Solitary. On the beatitudes, which the Lord gave in the first gospel. [This memra is] concerning that passage: “Blessed are the poor in spirit because the kingdom of heaven belongs to them.”\(^{20}\)

1) For those who seek to draw near to the virtuous glory of the perfect way of life, the beginning of their approach is this: separation from the world. For the mind is hindered from the course by human shackles. Unless a person looses herself

\(^{19}\) “Memre” is a Syriac word that means “speech” or “discourse,” possibly even “sermon” or “homily” (J. Payne Smith, ed. *A Compendious Syriac Dictionary: Founded upon the Thesaurus Syriacus of R. Payne Smith* [Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1979], 247).

\(^{20}\) Matthew 5:3
from the world, she will not be able to travel on that road, the instruction of which occurs through the sufferings of the Messiah, our Lord. For the road of the world is an obstacle to the road of salvation, and for those who are on a journey in the world, this is the course of their expectation: the love of wealth, the discovery of possessions, and the enjoyment of their temporal delights. But as for those who are walking on the road of salvation, this is their expectation: a perfect life and promises to come, which are laid up in the place of glory. A person is not able to travel on two roads. Therefore, we will compare them in our teaching so as to see what is useful for our salvation and [what] will bear us toward perfect hope, and by it, that we may

---

21. Or “life”
22. Or “life”
23. Lit. “Knowledge, information”
24. Or “life”
gather our salvation into the pathways that have strength from the might of the Messiah, our Lord.

2) Praise be to you, Messiah, perfecter of all, because you alone are perfect – your perfection being hidden in you – and you alone hold the completion of everything. For by you is the filling up of what is lacking and the completing of what is deficient. For you are the crown of all because it is in you that they who have been perfected by you are completed.

3) Allow us, Lord, to depict that image of your perfection in our persons. If our strength is deficient, Lord – since you know it is weaker than your perfection – make us worthy so that though we are not the body of perfection, even so we might

---

25. Or “life”

26. Or “help”

27. Or “completion/finishing.” The root kal (“to finish, to complete, to crown”) occurs three times in the context.

take refuge in the shelter of your protection.

4) Come! Hear and be comforted, O you who have loosed yourselves from the world! Draw near to the Lord of all! Be attentive to your promises! Let us not be deceived through a lack of mental discipline. For we are not forced to resign from the world of the living, but he showed the heirs an example in himself, so that those who desire his likeness are made worthy of his glory as well; and those in whom his image is not found are lacking in his glory.

5) For one and the same degree of honor is not promised to everyone. Those who have remained in the example of this world, have loved it, and have sought to remain in it cannot be elevated along with those who have transcended it. For [the former] are lower than the majesty [of the latter] just as

29. Lit. “laxity of the mind”

30. Lit. “the force of necessity is not laid upon us”
the knowledge of [the latter] is elevated above those who are in the world. But pay attention to this aforementioned example, because those who seek to be exalted to the height of the air, may reach [it] by degree, gradually, so that they will arrive at exaltation one step at a time. Indeed the teacher of our salvation has instituted [a process of] perfection by degrees,\(^{31}\) so that we will not become weary through our ascent, since those who draw near to being exalted support themselves on that first step of the Messiah’s poverty, by which they will begin to be exalted from the earth and arrive at those things that are virtuous.\(^{32}\)

6) For endurance is necessary for those who have renounced everything. For to those who have restrained themselves by means of the neglect of the world, renunciation has not been difficult.

---

31. In other words, “he set it up so that perfection would occur in stages.”

32. Or “excellent”
Endurance of sufferings or afflictions or hunger or nakedness or the sufferings of mourning that will subsequently meet them, is found to be comparatively easy, since [the Messiah] put the way of mourning after renunciation in his teaching, so that he would be our teacher.  

Unless a person first cleaves to renunciation, he or she will not be able to draw near to the suffering of mourning. For if those who mourn in a human fashion alienate themselves from the trade and commerce of the world until they get through [their] days of lamentation, how much more necessary is it for those who have determined that their whole manner of life would be in the suffering of mourning, so that they be better than everything? For indeed those who want to absolve themselves by righteousness are not able to bring their thoughts to action unless the love of poverty has been stirred within

33. Cf. Matthew 5:2-4
them first. For one whose mind is bound by wealth cannot withdraw from it unless his or her mind assents to meagerness of possessions.\textsuperscript{34} For no one seeks to draw near to the height of good things unless first they have been convinced by themselves to possess very little. [Furthermore], if the love of poverty persists in those who flourish in virtues in the shade of perfection, how much more is it necessary that those who are seeking to become perfect adopt the aim\textsuperscript{35} of the poverty of the Messiah, our Lord, in their whole manner of life?

7) You are glorified, Messiah, our teacher, because in everything you enrich those who cleave to you! For if, Lord, someone who has turned his or her possessions over to the authority of the Kingdom receives great honor by it, how much, Lord, will you increase and glorify the one who has

\textsuperscript{34} Lit. “to meagerness of possessions”

\textsuperscript{35} Or “emblem, badge”
offered his or her whole self to you and has set a nothing else alongside you?\textsuperscript{36} Lord, increase our souls by your grace, so that by you we may grow and glorify you. For no one grows, except by you, and no one abounds, except by you. Praise be to you, because without you everything is worthless! Praise be to you, because outside of your glory all praises are empty! Praise be to you, because it is you who cause growth and you who glorify, for you are the glory of everything. You are glorified, Messiah, finisher of everything, because by your divine teaching you have made them wise, who are taught by you to separate themselves from everything of this world and then be joined to you, so that lest when they journey after you and cleave to the world, the world will entice them to itself. Praise be to you, who commanded that we be released and then cleave to you,

\textsuperscript{36} In other words, this person places nothing at the same level of importance as God.

\textsuperscript{37} A contraction of the two words: ܫܘܒܚܐ and ܠܟ.
so that through our not being bound by anything, nothing shall separate us from you.

8) The loosener of all has released us by his word from every evil thing; by his command the abolisher of everything that is visible has abolished from us everything that is hateful. When our person is solitary by agreement, your love will be our possession much more greatly than anything. For whoever has obtained you, in you has gained everything. Whoever has not gained you in his life is alienated from everything while all the created things mock him as though an alien. But, Lord, the one who has gained you has gained all the created things by you, since they are all subject to him and, like servants, they are completely obedient to whatever he commands by his entreaty.

9) Praise be to you because it is through you that a person [can have] power over

---

38. Lit. “maidservants”
everything as his [own] power, since it is you alone who holds the might over everything! Praise be to you because you are the strength by which everything exists!

10) Again, let us come to the passage, so that our minds may be all the more enlightened by it. It says, “Blessed are the poor in spirit because the kingdom of heaven is theirs.”

Now, those who are doctors, by the wisdom of their expertise, emphasize caution in what they say with respect to things which cause illness, so that when [their patients], who are sick with illnesses, have been separated from causes of the impediment of their illness, they will be able to return to their former strength. In the same way, also, this true teacher of ours emphasized caution in his teaching against that which is an obstacle to our work in God, just as he preached and taught that it is not in the abundance of

39. Matthew 5:3
40. Lit. “helpers of humanity”
riches that people have life;\(^{41}\) and “you cannot,” he says, “serve both God and Mammon.”\(^{42}\) Just as the sick cannot endure their harmful things and [have] health accompany their lives, so we cannot hold onto that which hinders us and [have] our lives continue in spiritual health.

11) Let us flee, my brothers and sisters, from that which hinders. For a person cannot have both things perfected in him: putting his hand to the plowshare and turning back, and being useful to the Kingdom of heaven.\(^{43}\) For farmhands are not able to put their hands to the plowshare and turn their gaze behind themselves without having their work hindered. Thus, for anyone who takes hold of the aim\(^{44}\) of truth, if she should turn to the world

\(^{41}\) Cf. Luke 12:15

\(^{42}\) Luke 16:13 or Matthew 6:24

\(^{43}\) Cf. Luke 9:62

\(^{44}\) Or “end, goal”
seeking its possessions, it is hard for me to say which would be better: her service in perfection, or [being allowed] to continue unhindered in the course of her [original] journey.\footnote{In other words, Mar John is discussing those who seek perfection at first and then turn back to the world. He is speculating whether it would have been better for them just to remain in the world in the first place.}

12) Messiah, our Lord, make straight the walking of our lives to you, so that by you we might come to you, so that by you we might find you. Make us worthy so that by you we might reach you, and let us see nothing else besides you. Hide everything, Lord, from our mind’s eye by the brightness of your glorious light. For the one who focuses on you does not need to focus on anything else, while the vision of the person who does not focus on you is deficient with respect to all lights.

13) Praise be to you because you are the light and by you our souls are enlightened [with] the enlightenment of all by its

\footnote{This \textsuperscript{46} appears to have been added after the fact.}
knowledge. Pull back the veil of error from our souls, so that we might clearly see your light, because our minds have not become dim by your love.

14) For those who desire a vision of you will not even see themselves alongside you, since along with their possessions they are detached even from their own limbs, desiring to bear that which is unnatural, since those who have loved you desire earnestly not only your poverty, but even death for the sake of your name. Along with what belongs to them, even they themselves will perish for the sake of finding you, so that they and everything worldly that they have perish for the sake of genuinely finding you, when they are diligent to carry in themselves your love for them, so that by you they might love you. Praise be to you because you have enabled us to love you!

47. I.e. they will hold nothing as comparable to Christ, not even their very selves.

48. Lit. “slaughter”
15) For everything we have is yours and we have nothing of our own; rather, everything that is ours has been given to us. If we hate the pleasures of the flesh, life belongs to you and it is you who have made us worthy even to hate them. For who is it that would love her life [more] than you since you loved her life more than yours – since, you, Lord, gave your life for her life, so that by the death of your life, the mortality of her life might be revived, and the praise of your glory would increase by the loving-kindness of your crucifixion?

16) Oh, how great is your mercy, which is for us! Oh, how rich is your love, which is for our race! For it was not your belongings that you gave on our behalf, but you handed over your own self for the sake of our salvation, seeing as you purchased us not for a price that you had set, but you freed us with your pardoning blood. It is a great thing, Lord, that you were accused with what belongs to us, since although...
everything is yours, you did not seek to use authority, but accomplished everything with meekness and with kindness, and you proclaimed that you gave so that you might receive, surrendering yourself in exchange for our salvation, and buying us with your priceless blood. You did not seek to use the great power of your authority, but while ruling over all as the Lord God of all, you humbled yourself more than all for the sake of the exaltation of all. [You did this] just as you had established all the worlds wondrously, so that they would all be astonished at how great is your greatness, how humble is your gentleness, and also how glorious is your sweet kindness.

17) Praise be to you, Lord, for you are amazing and wonderful, and your greatness is ineffable! Lord, who could meditate on your love toward people and not also strip himself of his own life? Indeed, if it were possible to live and die many times in the greatest torments, it
would be a small thing for his love in you, since, Lord, it is not according to their labor alone [that] your friends are rewarded, but according to the perfection of their desire. For in accordance with [one’s] desire for your love, so is a person acceptable to you. Even for those who worked in your vineyard at the eleventh hour, it was not according to their labor alone that you rewarded them, but according to their willing desire.⁴⁹ Praise be to you who has offered comfort and encouragement! No one who would lodge a complaint against your wisdom.

18) “Blessed are they who are poor in spirit,” he says, “because the Kingdom of heaven belongs to them.”⁵⁰ Great is the encouragement, given in [this] blessing by the Universal Lord to those who in renunciation have joined only the Messiah, our Lord, taking up nothing from the

---

⁴⁹. C.f. Matthew 20:1-16
⁵⁰. Matthew 5:3
world, just as also their calling requires; nor have they turned [back] to that which they once left because of the love of God. 19) My brothers and sisters, let us abide with that perfect calling, which was proclaimed to us from that Lord of all. For it is faithlessness if after cleaving to the Messiah, someone should turn and seek attachment to the world. To the extent one draws near to those worldly\textsuperscript{51} things they are so removed from [the Lord’s] love, since they are not sated by his love. For the love of God cannot be possessed by someone while the love of possessions inhabits him or her, just as an image cannot be drawn on a tablet on which an image is [already] depicted unless the first one is erased. Likewise, the perfect image of the love of that Lord of all cannot be drawn on the mind on which fleshly love is depicted. Therefore, let us direct our hearing to that living voice, spoken by our savior to those

\textsuperscript{51} Lit. “visible”
who sought to follow him: “Whoever wants to follow me,” he says, “should deny themselves, take up their crosses daily, and follow me.”

20) But without their denial of the world, they cannot take up their crosses. For when people are not estranged from everything, all the comforts of what they possess surround them, making them strangers to sufferings, foreigners to afflictions, and excluded from vexations. Nakedness is not part of their experience, hunger pains do not occur in them, and they are not acquainted with poverty, the storehouse of all sorts of discomforts. If these things, which are the cause of the cross, are not part of a person’s experience, how can he or she draw near to the perfection, which our Lord demonstrated in his own person?

52. Luke 9:23
53. Lit. “is not found with them”
54. Lit. “are not found with a person”
It is not, Lord, that they do not draw near to your perfection, but they lack the fullness of your love, because it is incredible that a person is enflamed with your love, where they see you, the fulfiller of the neediness of all, who by means of needs made yourself needy and that their abundance not be filled up [due to] that poverty of yours, that [came] by means of needs. Nevertheless, Lord, if someone should draw near to the gift of [being] needy, they are not [so much] in accordance with your perfection, as with your commands. For the one who is eager to be joined to your perfection does not [actually] resemble your poverty, since your wealth is boundless. You have subjected yourself to poverty for the sake of others. How, when you are the giver, did you become the receiver, for after you gave, you turned and took because of your mercy? For the one who wants to be

55. The exact sense of this passage is unclear.
trained by you, you established this example for them to seek in their training. Lord, there is no one who will be trained by you perfectly, who is not also in need of that lofty grace of yours, in order to become steward and manager over his or her fellow servants. Make us worthy, Lord, to cleave to you fully and to possess only you, so that we find everything in you. Praise be to you because your love is great and exalted, and your perfection is great and lofty! Who is adequate to it? Praise be to you because you are the perfecter in everything!

22) Come, hear, and be comforted, [you] who are lacking in [your] way of life, and take hold of that heavenly hope, for he does not put to shame those who make themselves poor for him. Persist in the expectation of the grace of the Messiah, our Lord, because it is the mother of our upbringing and the nurturer of our life in...
all good things. Give heed to that aim of the worshipful Son. Let his poverty comfort us, for when he was rich, he impoverished himself and became needy. Let us also observe the perfect image of the fathers, depicted in afflictions of every kind by the figure that profits all, since through such neediness, their manner of life was humbled, so that some image of quiet joy was found, but renouncing everything that bears the glory in the world.

23) Give heed, you who are needy, to the sort of poverty that the great prophet, Elijah, had, whose self-denial was greater than that of all people, since he set nothing else alongside the mercy of the Lord of all. Not only did he lack abundance, but he did not even acquire [possessions to satisfy] his [basic] needs. The type of the master’s

56. Also, “standard, emblem”
57. Lit. “by the figure of the profit of all”
58. Cf. 1 Kings 17
59. Or “example” (τύπος, i.e. τόπος)
instruction was found to be with his disciple, because his manner of life was lacking such neediness. If it were not accomplished by grace, he would not have been able to fulfill the need of the prophets.

24) And many others have lived in such [voluntary] poverty in the world, seeking nothing alongside that Lord of all, but remaining firmly attached to his praiseworthy lordship in all the sufferings, afflictions, and troubles. Covered with skins of lambs and young goats, these people were clothed with rags because they did not have cloaks to provide them relief from the cold. They experienced great affliction, people of whom the whole world was not worthy, he or she is like those about whom I am testifying: [that person]

60. There is a small gap after this word on the manuscript at the end of the line. The scribe usually finishes the line off with a horizontal line, but here he did not. Also, the alef at the end of this word is either slightly damaged or erased. It is possible that there was an erasure of a small word (approximately 2 letters) or a piece of punctuation here.

61. Lit. “… they didn’t have a cloak for consolation (i.e. physical comfort), which would be to them a refreshment from the cold.”

62. Cf. Hebrews 11:37b-8a
has attached his or her life to the poverty of
the Messiah, having despised and cast
away absolutely everything because of
their love for our Lord, the Messiah, since
they abounded in it more than anything
else. Though their manner of life was
abundant in every way, because of God
they became hungry and thirsty, while also
fulfilling the needs of those who were in
need. And for the sake of the hope of God
that they beheld, they became needy
concerning everything [else], being naked
and barefoot, their bodies emaciated from
[their] afflictions. Like feeble, frail, and
poor people, they went about in the world.
It is fitting for us to imitate these blessed
ones who attached themselves to God in all
sorts of sufferings, emulating their manner
of living, picturing their truth in our minds,
and diligently acquiring their endurance
concerning our own persons. But if we
desire a portion of fellowship with them in

_username_
that place of life, let us endure until the end.

25) But what shall we, the weak ones, do in whom the love of the world has gotten the upper hand with its abundance, enticing us fully to its love, so that we pursue the desire for it no small amount, without becoming needy in any way. But as for the name that blooms in its title and has been set upon us, if we fade from it due to the smallest manifestation of afflictions – and instead of that honorable name of all-surpassing reverence – behold, a base name gets spoken about this way of life (even though the way of life is honorable), behold, [the name] is brought into disrepute by us because we do not fully adhere to it. For in this way, we have endeavored diligently concerning [this] passing life, so that even from the bearing of the name – namely, dwellers in the hope of God, whose way of life is inclined

63. Or “salvation”
toward the expectation of grace,⁶⁴ we have stripped ourselves from the glory of the name. Thus, we have no diligence concerning the life to come, as to how we will be found in it before the judgment-seat of the worshipful Son, so long as our concern about the temporal life is great, so that we are lacking in absolutely nothing. Great is the wonder mixed with weeping about the learned, namely, that the people who have readied themselves for crucifixion all day long would be avoiding [even] the breath of affliction, and so that they might not be afflicted in some way, fear shakes them.

26) Have pity on our lives,⁶⁶ Messiah, our Lord, which were bought by you with your honorable blood. Guard our souls before you, uninjured, and perfect your grace with us, Lord. Enable us to be seen by the world.

---

⁶⁴. The meaning of portions of this section is unclear.

⁶⁵. The waw prefixed to the front of this word appears to have been added after the fact.

⁶⁶. Or “salvation”
as lights so that by us they will see you. Let your love grieve about this, Lord: that in place of your having established us to be a light in the world, greatly [have] we [become] stumbling-blocks for people in the world by the darkness that [comes] from us because we will not speak, so that they stumble on us as in the darkness.

Lord, restrain by your grace the abundance of iniquity, lest your love turn away from people. Strengthen our weakness, Lord, and heal our sickness, so that we will be an utterly healthy body for your praise. With all the forces that draw near with us, let your strength accompany us against them.

Let us accompany you perfectly, victorious Messiah, and do not distance us at all from your love to which we cleave.

27) For it is not possible for someone to cling fast to your love, unless she first convinces herself to abstain from...
everything and to seek your company.\textsuperscript{67}

Just as it is impossible for a garden to be planted unless the plant is uprooted first, likewise, there is no way for the truth to be implanted in a person, unless the root of avarice is first blotted out from his mind. Again, in like manner, just as it is too much for a full vessel to carry heavy, sweet spices unless its fullness has been emptied out first, likewise, the mind cannot inhabit your love, unless it is emptied of the love of Mammon. May your truth inhabit us, Lord, and may your love dwell in us all the days of our lives!

28) Praise be to you, Living Son of God!

For you have provided everyone with your word,\textsuperscript{69} so that every person according to his or her strength might obtain it. You

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{67} This allusion is general enough to encompass many different stories of Jesus' calling disciples (e.g. Jesus' calling of Matthew in Matthew 9:9-13 or of the rich young ruler in Matthew 19:16-22.) See pg. 83-4 for more discussion.}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{68} A character that appears to be punctuation after the word is unreadable, perhaps due to erasure.}

\footnotesize{\textsuperscript{69} Or “For your word has provided…”}
have assisted the strong and the weak by your teaching, your wisdom being the mother of all, because she causes your believers to grow, so that they may come to perfection. You have withheld those who have been perfected from the food of milk and you cause them to draw near to the complete food.\textsuperscript{70} As an effective healer of all sicknesses, you mix with your teaching statements of every kind. As the healer of all sicknesses, who has acquired all sorts of medicinal herbs, you have gathered up and placed into the treasury of your teaching all sorts of precepts and every kind of instruction for the health of the whole body of the world. Because your knowledge knows all – since the world does not possess one mind but many – for this reason, you have spoken with them by all [sorts of] means, all of them possessing one pattern, and all of them directing our gaze.

\textsuperscript{70} Cf. 1 Corinthians 3:1-3 and Hebrews 5:12-4
to one refuge of salvation,\(^{71}\) so that we might attain to good deeds. As for those whose minds were too weak for your perfect training, Lord, you admonished them by your helpful word, so that their departure from this world would come through virtuous conduct of righteous acts.\(^{72}\) That way, after their resurrection from the dead, they may find refreshment in their true shelters. And to those who have eagerly pursued your glorious love, by [your] word you proclaimed that they take up the crucifixion all day, and then follow you.\(^{73}\) When they heeded your perfect instruction and earnestly desired it, even the statements of hindrance that they spoke, you cut off [and] cast away. Praise be to you, because you taught that a person should trained by you from his or her whole mind!

\(^{71}\) Or “life”

\(^{72}\) Or “alms”

\(^{73}\) Cf. Luke 9:23; Also, cf. §19 above.
29) May your soul not go astray, O person, whose standing is good in the training of the Messiah, if you have not fully separated from everything! O person, do you not hear the answer of the Lord to that youth, who earnestly desired eternal life? Therefore, let his true teaching persuade us when he said, “If you wish to be perfect, sell everything you have and give [it] to the poor, and take up your cross and follow me.” [Notice that] he did not say to him, “Hold onto the profit from your possessions and distribute it little by little,” but [the young man] heard this from him: that like a swimmer from the water, he should pull himself up completely out of his possessions in his support for the

74. Cf. Matthew 19:16-22

75. Matthew 19:21. This appears to be a conflation of Matthew 19:21 and Matthew 16:24 (or Luke 9:23), but I do not think that this conflation was created by Mar John. This appears to have been a reading from the Old Syriac version of the New Testament, represented in the Curetonian and Siniatic manuscripts. The Curetonian manuscript adds: “…and take up your cross…” (ممس ܐܢܠܒܡ). Given this manuscript evidence and the fact that Mar John cites the usual Peshitta forms of Luke 9:23 in several places, I treat this as a simple citation of Mar John from the New Testament text he was using, albeit one that agrees with the Curetonian Old Syriac at this point. For the manuscript evidence, see: F.C. Burkitt, Evangelion Da-Mepharreshe, 2 vols. (Cambridge: University Press, 1904; repr., Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2003), 100, George Kiraz, Comparative Edition of the Syriac Gospels, 4 vols. (Piscataway: Gorgias Press, 2002), 1:285-6, and Agnes Smith Lewis, ed., The Old Syriac Gospels or Evangelion da-Mepharreshe (London: Williams and Norgate, 1910).
needy, and then clinging to the perfect instruction.76

30) For those who seek to have integrated hearts in this manner of life will remove themselves from everything, caring about nothing, except presenting their souls unblemished before God. We have not been instructed by this teaching to have divisions in our way of life. Therefore, let the punishment of the one who wants there to be division in a united gathering terrify us. And because he seeks to draw near in two minds, his life77 has been removed from him. And since he drew near willingly, he willingly became divided. And because he sought to mix himself divisively with that united gathering, in which there was absolutely no division, it is right that he has also been separated from justice to become a sign of terror to

76. The image of a swimmer or diver is common in the Syriac tradition. Mar John’s use of it here is perhaps related to others within the tradition (e.g. Ephrem, Hymns on Faith 82.10 and Isaac of Nineveh, Mystical Treatises, ed. Arent J. Wensinck [Amsterdam: Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen, 1923], 326).

77. Or “salvation”
many. And though he wanted to rise to the height of perfection, yet because the encumbrance had not dropped away from him, he returned to the depths of the earth through the fall that happened to him in his temptation.

31) Then, instead of that small bird, which flies up from the midst of the depths to the height of the glory of the Lord of all, let us not become entangled in some way as though by a spider. Let us not allow anything to hinder us from being elevated to the love of the Messiah, our Lord. Let us draw the sharp sword, which is the word of God,79 and let us cut off and throw down from us all those burdens that are hindrances,80 so that nothing will debase us from the height of the Father of all. Let that heavenly hope be stirred in us always, and

78. The waw prefixed to the front of this word appears to have been added after the fact.

79. Cf. Hebrews 4:12, Ephesians 6:17


81. Punctuation or approximately two letters have been erased or faded after this word.
let us be assured of the promise about it. Let it be for us a banqueting hall, at which all are needing to be refreshed. Therefore, your power is capable of sustaining life in both worlds!

32) For our few needs do not worry us, since the abundance of everything will not be a yoke-fellow with us in the new world. This is because of the love of that Lord of all, to whom our love is the greatest of all of his possessions. Because of this, be comforted and take heart in that hope of salvation, and do not let that which is despised in this world trouble us. For this world is not perfect so that it may fulfill all our needs, and because of the fact that we are in want in it, let us realize in it that it is lacking. How can that which is lacking be the fulfiller of the needy? Therefore, let us not seek anything from it because indeed it

82. This punctuation was added later. It was made with red ink on top of a horizontal line, used to justify the end of the line.

83. Or “life”
is not capable of giving [anything] to us. But the deficiency of this world proclaims the fullness of that [new world], and also its meagerness teaches about the perfection of that one. Therefore, may our faith toward God be encouraged and may his hope give us rest from the labor of our anxiety. For our deficiency in the here and now will bring us to that fullness, and our need concerning everything here will carry us to the wealth of the promises [there]. Therefore, you are not alone in [this] manner of life, and you should not be concerned about the needs of your life. Instead, let your encouragement be in the grace of God because it will profit your life in the new world in place of these things that are here. This is sufficient consolation for you: the good God in his unfailing wisdom. For his mercifulness would not continue to leave the people in this world in troubles if he did not have another
world, in which he is preparing to give everyone rest accordingly. For their troubles in the here and now are the cause of their rest there, and their griefs here are the cause of their consolation there.

33) Consoler of all by [your] declaration, console our souls by your hope! Comforter of all by [your] teaching, comfort our mind by your expectation! May your grace, Lord, which has given us rest in the life here, give us rest in the life there. May your mercies, which have overflowed upon us in the manifestation of your birth, overflow upon us in the revelation of your glory. Lord, may your grace that created us, increase our exaltation on the day of your glory. May your mercy, Lord, which caused you to be in need of that which belongs to us for the sake of our wealth, fulfill our needs with everything for the sake of your grace. May your love, Lord, which made you poor when you were rich and [had] your wealth concealed in you,
make our poverty rich by your promises.\textsuperscript{85}

For in you, Lord, you have taught us concerning that which belongs to us, that just as you dwelled meagerly in our world while the sum of your wealth was hidden in you,\textsuperscript{86} likewise, while people journey meagerly [through life], the wealth of their promise is concealed in them by the hope of their faith concerning you. Praise be to you, Hope of our salvation,\textsuperscript{87} because by yourself, you have told us about that which belongs to us!

34) Come, hear, and learn how you may live\textsuperscript{88} by your virtues, that in no way will be stolen away! Give heed to the perfect aim,\textsuperscript{89} established by the Lord so that you may separate yourselves from this world by his teaching. Because those who become

\textsuperscript{85} Cf. 2 Corinthians 8:9

\textsuperscript{86} Cf. Colossians 2:2-3 and Ephesians 3:8

\textsuperscript{87} Or “lives”

\textsuperscript{88} Or “be saved”

\textsuperscript{89} Or “sign, emblem”
lacking by [their] gifts of alms will learn of their distance from God, and those who boast in the gifts will understand their weakness, that they fall greatly short of perfection. For wherever the love of the Messiah, our Lord, is found, it brings out a person’s excesses from him or her unto the needy and does not allow [that person] to possess anything. For how can a person love God and hold tightly to that which he or she owns, seeing the afflictions of others? For although it is impossible for it to be understood, they would not only give from their excesses, but they would also take away from their necessities for the refreshment of others. For the person who loves God and whose mind has entered into the flame of his mercy is convinced to possess not even the necessities, let alone to have any extra. For the perfection of a person is made known through her deprivation, that there might be a second witness through her deprivation, since the
griefs fight against her and her life is also
buffeted by afflictions. She is tormented by
her deprivation and suffers by her poverty,
while grace repays her these things, so that
she might have her anxiety in the place of
torments and the endurance of her
confession in the Messiah.

35) But the command of the Lord to the
apostles shows the proof of perfection
because he removed them even from their
necessities. 90 For this is not perfection: that
the excesses of a person fulfill the needs of
the poor. But this is perfection: that it has
the testimony of the love of the Messiah
upon it, if our poverty fulfills the need of
the needy. For that one by whose excesses
the afflicted are relieved is without
suffering in his or her virtues. For this is
perfection: that the likeness of the Messiah,
the Lord of all, be found in us. He took it
upon himself to become poor, so that we
might be made rich, and took our poverty

that he might give us his wealth.\textsuperscript{91} For in this [way], that complete love of his lordship is obtained by us and we also share in his afflictions, if it is by our afflictions others will be relieved, just as that all-worshipful Son did, who bore our sufferings, carried our sicknesses, and by whose wounds all of us are healed.\textsuperscript{92} For great is the praise of the person, whose mind is with God and who has no frugality concerning that which belongs to her. Her likeness is that of the Messiah because his affliction was the cause of the relief of others. That a person would try to be less than her [is something] that will not be found in the world. Justice ought to repay her, for the grace appears to all people. And to the same degree as someone will be less, there is one who would be of lower estate than her, and she strives more than anyone to make herself low in order to

\textsuperscript{91} Cf. 2 Corinthians 8:9

\textsuperscript{92} Cf. Isaiah 53:4-5
receive recompense from justice.

36) For the person who is made worthy to love the Messiah, our Lord, with his mind is so diligent that no one more ascetic than him be found in creation, but that he will be the very least of all, in the sight of God, the Lord of all. [This is] just like what Moses, the prophet, also did, who though his up-bringing had its origin amidst desirable pleasures, because of his love for God, with which he was enflamed, he crawled and descended from the grandeur of the Egyptians to the low estate of humiliation.\(^{93}\) It is a wonder how low this man of God made himself, so that he joined his life with such need and poverty, choosing something for himself lower and more despised than all people. But [determining] whether these things actually happen is not in our power, even if we

\(^{93}\) Cf. Hebrews 11:24-6

\(^{94}\) This word, including the prefixed *dalet*, appears to have been added to the end of the line after the fact.
know how deficient we are in our weakness. But great is the glory of those who cleave to these things that are of gladness and of delight, great is their glory because of the likeness that has been seen in them in this world, straining towards receiving at the end, eternal things instead of temporal things, things higher than sight instead of things contained under human eyesight, and things that are changeless instead of things that are subject to change.

37) Regret and be wearied no longer, O person, who has become poor because of God! For the hope of your comfort is a royal palace. Let not your spirit be sad with depression because you have become afflicted with hunger and with nakedness, but rejoice and be exceedingly glad in the hope promised to you. Be thankful and praise the one who has made you worthy of afflictions, which [are] for the sake of his love. And because you have become needy through his love, your delight will be in a royal palace.
broad place, packed full of all sorts of pleasures. Because many were relieved by your afflictions, you were made worthy to be a partner in his sufferings. Because you humbled yourself with voluntary poverty, your dwelling will be in a place that transcends poverty and utterly shines with glorious light. Rejoice and exult, O you who have offered yourself to God! Glorify him who perfected your glory by the blessing that he gave! And because you have nothing of value to give the Messiah, the readiness of your will is the perfect deed for him, as he had your comfort written about, along with that of the apostles. By the little that they left, their genuineness towards God was made known, for if they had possessed the majesties of wealth, it would have been like nothing in their eyes because of the majesty of the Messiah, as their hands were turning loose [of possessions] for the sake

---

95. Cf. Matthew 5:3
of his company. By the two coins of faith, he declared about the readiness of [the widow’s] mind that it was greater than all the rest of the gifts that were piled up in heaps, her glory being elevated above all of them. For as many as would be raised up to renunciation for the sake of God should reach the level of her willingness and that would be enough – she, who offered all that she possessed. 96 And because you do not have possessions to give to him, offer him the possessions of sobriety along with true thoughts. Therefore, let us pursue after the love of God, pulling ourselves away from everything and cleaving only to that Lord of all.

38) Now for the sake of helping [your] obedience, so that you may heed the words of the Lord harmoniously, we will discuss the meaning of the fact that sometimes he had them renounce everything and the fact that on the day of his crucifixion, he

ordered them to take something. His statement to the apostles was not contrary to the commands that [he gave] to them. As for that which he told them at the time of the Supper, “Whoever has a wallet, let them take it and whoever does not have a sword, let them sell their cloak and buy a sword,” he spoke with them according to their infirmity at that time. For they were agitated and disquieted at that time, just as he recounts the agitation of their minds in his statement. For at that time trembling and fear fell upon them and by hearing about his separation from being with them, even the thoughts of despair were terrifying to them – “What strength will accompany us after his departure?” For the hope of confidence at that time was

97. This allusion is general enough to encompass many different stories of Jesus calling people to renunciation (e.g. the calling of Peter, James, and John in Luke 5:11, the calling of Levi in Luke 5:28, Jesus’ statement in Luke 14:33, and Jesus’ command to the disciples before commissioning them in Luke 9:3). See pg. 90-1 for more discussion.


100. Or “thoughts that he had despaired”
expunged from them due to their fear, as they lifted up their eyes on all sides out of fear. But the Lord sought to show them the weakness of their minds and also wanted to help them understand his assistance for them. For his strength accompanied them everywhere they were being sent, and fearlessly they would preach the Gospel of his Kingdom, even though he would not let them take anything. Instead, he sent them devoid of everything, so that because of the fact that they were not expected to have anything on them, they might know that his aid was accompanying them in everything. For if they had taken something, because they were not yet perfect it would have seemed to them that their comfort was in their ways of life; but because of the fact that they were deprived of everything, his strength that accompanied them was made known to them, so that they understood that he was the fulfiller of their needs in everything.
39) But when the day of his crucifixion arrived and he had to depart from their presence, he began to discuss those things that needed to be said at that time, reminding them of their [former] sending away from him, that although he had sent them without money bags and without provisions, they lacked nothing, so that they also confirmed his word.\(^\text{101}\) But because they fully understood [about] his aid that was joined to them, that this was not theirs but his, after he showed them\(^\text{102}\) his aid, he began to make known to them also their weakness, that because they were weak, he permitted them to take something at that time, so that there might be comfort in something according to their weakness. For they were afraid that they had nothing on them with which to meet those who were coming out against the Lord, and even a small encouragement at that time


\(^{102}\) Or “he proved to them”
would be their encouragement. But because of the Spirit whom they were about to receive, he made known to them first their weakness, because they were timid from fright, so that their fear would bring them to a dispersion. He neglected them a little, so that their weakness might be known, and it would be made known to them, so that they might learn that they had not gone out [and] preached by their power, and because they were about to preach in all countries. For in the fact that they were afraid and fled, they came to recognize the deficiency of their faith and the weakness of their strength, so that after they would receive the power of the Spirit and none would be able to stand against them, they would remember their feeble nature – that without the aid of God, they could do nothing.

40) For greatness is not made to abound to a person who receives [it], unless they have first become aware of the low estate of their soul. But so that the strength that they
were about to receive would be magnified in their eyes, as a helper it was to them at that time, because in that he neglected them a little, they were dispersed everywhere due to [their] terrible trembling. But these things that we have said and that we have to say are to show that he allowed them to take something because of their weakness and it was not an abiding commandment; rather, at that time it was fitting for him because of their weakness. For he had not taught them to possess anything. For otherwise he would have allowed them to have the rest – he would not have deprived them of cloaks, so that through their selling prices, they could get swords. But like we have often said, he spoke with them in a manner corresponding to the time, according to the thinking they had. For even if the Lord allowed Simon [Peter] to take a sword because of the human way of thinking that prevailed among them at that time, as though a weapon were required for...
their deliverance – for the wound that
[Peter] inflicted on those opponents when
the zeal of his love stirred him to do battle
for the Lord\textsuperscript{103} – but because of the
preparedness of their minds he was
revealed. For it came to pass...\textsuperscript{104} time of
his permission to take swords with them,
while the Lord showed perfect grace at that
time, so that instead of bad things, we
would do good things to each other, these
things barely sufficing. And if the
command of the Lord to the apostles has
not been explained perfectly by us, I hope
that we have shown your love by [this]
feeble account that [for] the flight of
preaching\textsuperscript{106} it was said to them to possess
something.

41) But may God, who has made us worthy
and brought us near to this glorious way of


\textsuperscript{104} The meaning of this sentence is uncertain.

\textsuperscript{105} This word has been amended. The original reading was: \text{ܠܫܩܠܘܢ}.

\textsuperscript{106} The meaning of this phrase is uncertain.
life, in which is depicted the likeness of the new life, enable us by his compassion and by the riches of his mercy to be completed in it according to his pleasure. May his holy name be praised among us by all our actions, and may his helpful strength accompany us until the end. May his love increase in our eyes most of all, and may everything be despised by us besides his mercy. May his grace preserve all of us, and may it cover us with its wings on the great day of his coming. Let us lift up praise to the Father and to the Son and to the Holy Spirit, now and always and forever and ever. Amen.
CHAPTER 3
RHETORICAL ANALYSIS

Methodology

By referencing Scripture throughout the homily, Mar John creates intertextual echoes, which contribute to his argument in meaningful ways. Concerning intertextuality, Jonathon Culler explains, “it calls our attention to the importance of prior texts, insisting that the autonomy of texts is a misleading notion and that a work has the meaning it does only because certain things have previously been written.”¹ Furthermore, any sort of allusion or reference to a prior text appropriates the text for the new context. In other words, “the rebounds of intertextual echo generally… distort the original voice in order to interpret it.”² Therefore, as Richard Hays writes in his seminal work, Echoes of Scripture in the Letters of Paul, “the twofold task of a criticism attuned to such echoes, then, is: (a) to call attention to them so that others might be enabled to hear; and (b) to give and account of the distortions and new figuration that they generate.”³ The goal of this rhetorical analysis is to do exactly that with respect to Mar John’s use of Scripture in the Homily on the Poor in Spirit. While providing a general overview of the argument of the

² John Hollander, The Figure of Echo: A Mode of Allusion in Milton and After (Berkley: University of California Press, 1981), 111.
³ Richard Hays, Echoes of Scripture in the Letters of Paul, 19.
homily, this analysis will also identify and categorize Mar John’s references to Scripture, explaining their purpose and function in his overall argument.  

The first step to this analysis is to adopt a method able to identify and analyze Scripture within the discourse. Patristic texts of all kinds typically rely heavily on Christian Scripture. In an effort to aid in the study of patristic citations of Scripture for the purpose of textual criticism, Gordon Fee proposed three categories of scriptural use in a patristic text: allusion, adaptation, and citation. He defined allusion as “Reference to the content of a biblical passage in which verbal correspondence to the [New Testament] Greek text is so remote as to offer no value for the reconstruction of that text.”

Adaptation differs from allusion for Fee in that adaptation does have a “clear verbal correspondence to the Greek [New Testament],” but it is altered by the author for the sake of style or theme. Therefore, the main difference between the two is the level of explicitness with which the text is referenced. Fee’s category for citation follows the same trend and is reserved for the most explicit of references to the text. He defines instances of citation as “those places where a Father is consciously trying to cite, either from memory or by copying, the very words of the biblical text.” Furthermore, Fee notes that there is some amount of subjectivity in this category, as one can have some citations that are more “genuine” and some that are “loose.”

4. Please refer back to pg. 4-5 above for the necessary discussion on Scripture within ascetic discourse.


6. Ibid., 362.

7. Ibid., 362.
Building on Fee’s categories, Carroll Osburn refines the method, adding a more robust technique for identifying various allusions and adaptations. Osburn proposes five categories: citation, adaptation, allusion, reminiscence, and locution. The first two categories of citation and adaptation are roughly the same as in Fee’s method, for both involve intention to cite a passage of Scripture. However, they differ in that, for Osburn, citation requires exactness and some sort of explicit or implicit cue to the reader of the presence of a quote, whereas, adaptation does not. The reference is an adaptation when “much of the lexical and syntactical structure of the text is preserved and woven unobtrusively into the patristic context.” Allusion involves some verbal correspondence with intent to provide “only the gist of the text rather than to cite.” Reminiscence has a clear referential text, but simply echoes the text with the author not intending to cite the biblical material. Finally, locution is simply the use of biblical language very generally without an identifiable referential text.

Fee and Osburn are concerned primarily with methods that will ensure the precise recovery of an author’s biblical text. Although that text critical link is not an interest of the present thesis, their categories are still very useful as the basis from which these biblical references may be identified, categorized, and discussed. However, in order to be able to demonstrate more fully the rhetorical significance of Mar John’s use of scripture, the terminology must be adapted further. Borrowed from Richard Hays’ works on the use of the Old Testament in the New Testament, the term “echo” will be used most often in

---

9. Ibid., 318.
10. Ibid., 318.
place of “reminiscence.” “Quotation” will refer to all citations and adaptations.

“Reference” will signify all quotations, allusions, echoes, and locutions.

Even with the categories and terminology in place, there is great difficulty in identifying and categorizing allusions and echoes within a text, simply because this is somewhat subjective in nature. To aid discerning whether or not an allusion is real or not, Hays develops a system of seven tests: 1) availability – was the source text available to the original audience?, 2) volume – how distinctive or prominent is the original text?, 3) recurrence – is the text cited elsewhere?, 4) thematic coherence – how well does the allusion fit within the argument?, 5) historical plausibility – could the author have plausibly meant it and the readers understood it?, 6) history of interpretation – have other readers recognized it as an allusion?, and 7) satisfaction – does it all make sense?¹¹ For the purposes of this analysis, some of these tests are more helpful than others, but they all provide a helpful structure and method by which to identify and categorize the references, especially the more difficult and “dull” echoes.

All of that aside, Sebastian Brock notes that there is significant difficulty and ambiguity in discerning between patristic references on the textual side as well. He observes that even in cases where the author introduces a text as a quotation (for instance, with lam), one still cannot assume that what follows is indeed a proper quotation. In some instances, the author might insert his or her own gloss of a word within the quotation. In others, as Brock points out, an author might fuse the wordings of two different, but similar, passages. Furthermore, he makes the case that this alteration of a biblical

---

quotation is sometimes an intentional decision by the author, not simply an accident.\textsuperscript{12}

With these things in mind, all citations have been evaluated using the most reliable editions for comparison.\textsuperscript{13} However, the intention of this paper is not to reconstruct Mar John’s New Testament or address questions of textual criticism and the history of the textual tradition. The goal is to analyze Mar John’s rhetorical use of Scripture in his homily, and these text-critical methodological refinements are means to that end.

Table 1: Scriptural References in the Homily on the Poor in Spirit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biblical References</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Section / Paragraph Number</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 5:3</td>
<td>Pg. 20</td>
<td>Lemma / N/A</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 5:2</td>
<td>Pg. 25</td>
<td>First Exhortation / §6</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 5:3-4</td>
<td>Pg. 25</td>
<td>First Exhortation / §6</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 5:3</td>
<td>Pg. 29</td>
<td>Second Exhortation / §10</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 12:15</td>
<td>Pg. 29-30</td>
<td>Second Exhortation / §10</td>
<td>Adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 16:13 or</td>
<td>Pg. 30</td>
<td>Second Exhortation / §10</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 6:24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 20:1-16</td>
<td>Pg. 35</td>
<td>Second Exhortation / §17</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 5:3</td>
<td>Pg. 35</td>
<td>Third Exhortation / §18</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 9:23</td>
<td>Pg. 37</td>
<td>Third Exhortation / §19</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Kings 17</td>
<td>Pg. 40</td>
<td>Fourth Exhortation / §23</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrews 11:37b-8a</td>
<td>Pg. 41</td>
<td>Fourth Exhortation / §24</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


## Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biblical References</th>
<th>Page Number</th>
<th>Section / Paragraph Number</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allusion to the various stories of Jesus’ calling of disciples</td>
<td>Pg. 45-6</td>
<td>Fourth Exhortation / §27</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Corinthians 3:1-3 &amp; Hebrews 5:12-14</td>
<td>Pg. 47</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §28</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 9:23</td>
<td>Pg. 48</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §28</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 19:16-22</td>
<td>Pg. 49</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §29</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 19:21</td>
<td>Pg. 49</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §29</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrews 4:12 &amp; Ephesians 6:17</td>
<td>Pg. 51</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §31</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrews 12:1-2</td>
<td>Pg. 51</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §31</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Corinthians 8:9</td>
<td>Pg. 54-5</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §31</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colossians 2:2-3 &amp; Ephesians 3:8</td>
<td>Pg. 55</td>
<td>Fifth Exhortation / §31</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 9:1-6</td>
<td>Pg. 57</td>
<td>Sixth Exhortation / §35</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Corinthians 8:9</td>
<td>Pg. 57-8</td>
<td>Sixth Exhortation / §35</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isaiah 53:4-5</td>
<td>Pg. 58</td>
<td>Sixth Exhortation / §35</td>
<td>Adaptation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrews 11:24-6</td>
<td>Pg. 59</td>
<td>Sixth Exhortation / §36</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew 5:3</td>
<td>Pg. 61</td>
<td>Sixth Exhortation / §37</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allusion to various stories of Jesus calling disciples to renunciation</td>
<td>Pg. 62-3</td>
<td>Commentary on Jesus’ Commands and Conclusion / §38</td>
<td>Allusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 9:3</td>
<td>Pg. 63</td>
<td>Commentary on Jesus’ Commands and Conclusion / §38</td>
<td>Reminiscence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luke 22:36</td>
<td>Pg. 63</td>
<td>Commentary on Jesus’ Commands and Conclusion / §38</td>
<td>Citation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Argument Structure and Introduction (§1 - §3)

Mar John structures his homily in the following way: an introduction (§1 - §3), followed by six long exhortations to poverty (starting at §4, §10, §18, §22, §28, and §34, respectively), then a commentary on two apparently contradictory commands of Jesus about possessions (Luke 9:3 and Luke 22:36) (§38 - §40), ending with a conclusion in the form of a doxology (§41).14 The lemma, written by the scribe of the manuscript, contains a citation of Matthew 5:3. In this way, the homily begins as a flowing out of this passage. The introduction lays out the concept of there being two paths for the reader: “the road of salvation” (or “life”) and “the road of the world.” The exhortations that follow examine the role of renunciation in treading on the “road of salvation” and call the readers to practice it as a spiritual discipline. No scriptural references occur in the introductory section.

First Exhortation (§4 - §9)

The first exhortation is a call to poverty based on the authority of Jesus as a teacher, the authority of Scripture, and the vocation of a monk. Mar John mentions that sufferings (in general, but notably the sufferings of mourning) would be relatively easy for those who have renounced everything “since [the Messiah] put the way of mourning after renunciation in his teaching, so that he would be our teacher.”15 That Jesus is a

14. Manel Nin only counts five exhortations and does not mention where they begin or end (Nin, “Il Commento di Giovanni il Solitario a Mt. 5,3,” 33). Mar John’s argument throughout these exhortations is cyclical. He frequently changes topic and frequently returns later to similar points of argumentation. Furthermore, even while exhortation is a good description of the main goal of this middle section (and really the homily as a whole), Mar John moves rather fluidly between exhortation, complex discourse, exegesis, and doxology. Therefore, though I have concluded that it is best to identify six exhortations, my doing so is more of a comment on the flow of the homily, rather than distinguishing six different arguments for poverty.

15. *HPS* 6 (pg. 25 above).
teacher is a major theme throughout Matthew, so Mar John could be drawing from any number of verses. However, I think this is a reminiscence of Matthew 5:2, where Jesus is said to sit down on the mountaintop, open his mouth, and teach the disciples at the Sermon on the Mount. That highly familiar context forms the most natural narrative backdrop to the homily. However, whether this is actually a reminiscence of Matthew 5:2 or simply locution based on somewhere in the Bible is not important. The key is that Jesus, the image of perfection and example of poverty (as we will see later), has taught his disciples something about the way of renunciation, and his authority adds to the importance of the exhortation in general. Also, in the above quote, there is mention of Jesus putting the “way of mourning” after renunciation in his teaching. With this, Mar John seems to be indicating that Jesus intentionally placed the first beatitude (“blessed are the poor in spirit”) before the second beatitude (“blessed are those who mourn”). Thus, he says, “Unless a person first cleaves to renunciation, he or she will not be able to draw near to the suffering of mourning.” Mar John must believe that a monk’s calling self-evidently necessitates a lifestyle of mourning. For this reason, he is able to give the comparison between the mourning process for a lay person and ascetic mourning, claiming that if a lay person ceases from trade and commerce in mourning the death of a loved one or other tragedy, then monks ought not to have any possessions at all because their whole manner of life consists of mourning. Thus, by paying attention to the order of the first two beatitudes in Jesus’ teaching, Mar John is able to make an argument that relies on the authority of Jesus and Scripture, while asserting that a monk ought to renounce all possessions.

Second Exhortation (§10 - §17)

In Mar John’s second exhortation, he encourages the readers to remove all hindrances and obstacles from their lives, namely the love of and desire for possessions. The language of obstacle and hindrance (ʿūwākāʾ) ought to remind the reader of his introduction, where Mar John describes the “road of the world” as a hindrance (ʿūwākāʾ) to the “road of salvation.” This “road to salvation” is equivalent to the ascetic pursuit of perfection. At the end of paragraph 11, Mar John writes, “…it is hard for me to say which was better: her service in perfection, or [being allowed] to continue unhindered in the course of her [original] journey.” In this instance, the phrase translated for “unhindered” literally means “without an obstacle (ʿūwākāʾ).” In other words, John is writing to monks and ascetics, people already on the path to perfection, and exhorting them towards renunciation of possessions. This acquisition of possessions is normal for those who are on the road of the world, which is why Mar John says that someone who begins treading on the path of perfection, only to return to the road of the world, might have been better off never heading towards perfection because they only hindered their path in the world.

Within this second exhortation, Mar John uses five different references to Scripture. The first two occur as a part of prescription by a doctor, namely Jesus. Mar John equates these obstacles (ʿūwākāʾ) to the path of perfection as “things which cause illness.” Therefore, just as a doctor would tell her patients to avoid certain things which could be bad for their physical health, Jesus cautions against obstacles of our spiritual health. Within this image, he offers an adaptation of Luke 12:15 and a citation of Luke 16:13 (or Matthew 6:24). Mar John’s use of Luke 12:15 as an example of caution by Jesus is a perfect example as Jesus literally uses the same root in 12:15, saying, “Be
cautioned.” Both references benefit Mar John’s argument in that coming from the mouth of the Lord himself, there is a caution against the love of possessions over against the love of God.

The next reference within this exhortation is an allusion to Luke 9:62, where Jesus calls for radical discipleship. Allusions have the ability not only to point to the text that is mentioned, but to the surrounding text of the passage. By alluding to Luke 9:62, Mar John is bringing to the recollection of his reader the surrounding context of the passage, namely Luke 9:57-62. In these verses, Jesus is asking people to follow him. The first responds by saying, “Lord, first let me go and bury my father.” But Jesus responds with “Let the dead bury their own dead. But you go and spread the news of God’s kingdom.” Another says to Jesus, “I will follow you, Lord, but first let me say good-bye to those in my house.” Luke 9:62 is Jesus’ response in saying, “No one who puts a hand on the plow and looks back is fit for God’s kingdom.” Mar John takes this verse and adapts it to show two different options. In his retelling, one could either be the kind of person who puts his hand to the plow and looks back, or one could be the kind of person who is fit for the kingdom of heaven. In other words, Mar John is asking the reader to question his or her own levels of commitment to the path of perfection, this radical, ascetical pursuit of Jesus, because both of these things cannot be “perfected” in the same person.

Furthermore, the monk is one who leaves the world in pursuit of God, so this image of beginning to plow, but turning back, is insinuating someone who begins the ascetic life but attempts to cling still onto their previous life as well.

17. All quotations of the New Testament, which are not pulled directly out of the homily itself, come from the Common English Bible (CEB), unless otherwise stated.
Finally, the last reference occurs at the end of this long second exhortation, as Mar John calls for perseverance from his readers to live in poverty and suffering for the sake of Christ. In this, he alludes to the parable of the workers at the vineyard in Matthew 20:1-16. This parable is often read today as revealing something about the radical grace of God in giving all people equally what they do not deserve. However, Mar John focuses on the equal desire of all of the workers. Those in the eleventh hour desired to work in the vineyard just as much as those who started the day there, and they were all rewarded “not according to their labor alone… but according to their willing desire.” This hermeneutical move aids Mar John’s argument in saying that renunciation is less about the physical activity (although he certainly believes that that is an important aspect) and more about the desire behind the action.

Third Exhortation (§18 - §21)

The third exhortation, like the second, begins with another citation of Matthew 5:3. Functionally, this helps to break up the homily, but also to remind the reader again (for the third time if one includes the lemma) about the overall message of the homily. After citing this passage, Mar John reminds his audience that this is “their calling” as he begins to exhort them to pursue this “divinely-mandated” calling of renunciation. The rest of this exhortation focuses on imitating the kenotic movement of Jesus at his incarnation, who though he was “rich” with divinity became “poor” by taking on our humanity.

18. HPS 17 (pg. 35 above).
Within this exhortation, Mar John cites Luke 9:23, saying, “Whoever wants to follow me should deny themselves, take up their crosses daily, and follow me.”\(^{19}\) He explains this passage by making the case that a person cannot take up his or her cross without renunciation because without renunciation there is no “suffering,” “affliction,” “vexation,” “nakedness,” or “hunger pains.” In fact, he claims that “[poverty is] the storehouse of all sorts of discomforts.”\(^{20}\) Furthermore, Mar John believes these things to be the “cause of the cross”; he believes that they are absolutely vital in a person’s pursuit of perfection. Therefore, Mar John argues that if the Lord says that we should deny ourselves and take up our crosses (an appeal to his authority) and the taking up of crosses necessitates renunciation, then we ought to begin with the act of renunciation.

Furthermore, since Jesus took upon himself this crucifixion and poverty, this renunciation can be seen also as the imitation of Christ.

**Fourth Exhortation (§22 - §27)**

Similar to the previous exhortation, where Mar John calls his reader to imitate the poverty of Christ, the fourth focuses on imitating the poverty of other major spiritual figures. The first of these figures is the “great prophet Elijah,” whose self-denial Mar John esteems as the greatest of all people. Mar John praises his self-denial, saying, “Not only did he lack abundance, but he did not even acquire [possessions to satisfy] his [basic] needs.”\(^{21}\) There is no explicit reference or any further details given to indicate what exactly he has in mind, but in light of the story of Elijah as a whole, 1 Kings 17

---


20. *HPS* 20 (pg. 37 above).

21. *HPS* 23 (pg. 40 above).
seems like a likely candidate for this echo. 1 Kings 17 tells the story of Elijah obeying the word of God in the midst of great famine. During this time, he is completely reliant on God’s providence for his daily sustenance, being fed by ravens at first and then the divinely-replenished jar of flour and bottle of oil at the house of the widow Zarephath. Thus, he has renounced himself to the point of lacking even the little bit of food he needs each day for survival.

The second reference to the poverty of spiritual figures in this section is actually a reference to “many others,” who similarly denied themselves. At first glance, this appears to be general enough that it could be a general formulation of Mar John suggesting that there are many other examples of this praiseworthy renunciation throughout Scripture and the wider Christian tradition. However, his use of the phrases “covered with the skins of lambs and baby goats” and “people of whom the whole world was not worthy” reveals an allusion to Hebrews 11:37-8. Throughout chapter eleven, the author of that book describes the sacrificial faithfulness of a number of biblical figures. Mar John specifically focuses on Hebrews 11:37b-8a, which says, “…They went around wearing the skins of sheep and goats, needy, oppressed, and mistreated. The world didn’t deserve them…” For the author of Hebrews, this was just one more piece of evidence of the incredible faithfulness of this group of people; however, Mar John emphasizes their voluntary poverty, that they were wearing the skins of lambs and baby goats (which he also describes as “rags”) because they did not have cloaks to provide them relief from the cold. The same roots of the words translated as “oppressed” (from the root ’lṣ) and “mistreated” (from the root ṭrp) above in the quotation of Hebrews 11:37 appear in Mar John’s work as well in his claim that these people remain “firmly attached to his
praiseworthy lordship in all the sufferings, afflictions (from the root ʾlṣ), and troubles (from the root ʿṭrp).” By alluding to this passage, Mar John attaches this self-denial to some of the heroes of the Christian faith, makes them exemplars to be imitated, and further adds to the legitimacy of his exhortation by appealing to the authority of Scripture and the Christian tradition.

After this call to imitate the examples of these heroic figures, Mar John reminds the reader yet again that renunciation is a necessary prerequisite to total devotion towards God. He begins this thought by saying, “For it is not possible for someone to cling fast to your love, unless she first convinces herself to abstain from everything and to seek your company.” This statement is just general enough to elicit multiple different stories of Jesus’ calling of disciples. One example of such a call is the calling of Peter and Andrew in Matthew 4:18-22, when Jesus calls out to them while they were fishing, saying, “Come, follow me and I’ll show you how to fish for people.” After this call, the two of them immediately stop what they are doing, leave their father, and follow Jesus. Another example would be Jesus’ calling of Matthew in Matthew 9:9-13, when Matthew immediately gets up and follows him. The allusion is present in Mar John’s text, but flexible enough to apply to a number of different contexts. The necessary conditions are a calling, a challenge to renounce and leave things, and a response. In these two previous examples, the response was positive; however, the allusion does not necessitate a positive response. In fact, the story of the rich young ruler in Matthew 19:16-22 can also be read into this allusion. Jesus calls the young man to renounce his possessions and follow him, but “when the young man heard this, he went away saddened, because he had many

22. HPS 27 (pg. 45-6 above).
possessions.” The rich young ruler experienced the call and the challenge to renounce what he had, but he was not willing to do that.

**Fifth Exhortation (§28 - §33)**

The fifth exhortation begins with a doxology, praising God because He has provided Scripture for his people with teachings for “every person according to his or her strength.” Mar John uses several images to explain this, one of which compares God’s placing of instruction and precepts in Scripture to a doctor storing all sorts of medicine in a sort of medicine cabinet. Because of this, the spiritually mature and immature alike can benefit from the word of God. In explaining this, Mar John appeals to the image of “milk” versus “complete food” and the biblical concept found in both 1 Corinthians 3:1-3 and Hebrews 5:12-14 that a person ought to be taught depending on his or her spiritual maturity. Relying on this concept, Mar John explains that “those whose minds were too weak for your perfect training” would be saved through their “virtuous conduct of righteous acts.” In other words, those who did not choose daily crucifixion (i.e. lay people) also have a path towards salvation, paved through righteous acts, such as the giving of alms. However, those who have been called to pursue perfection are called by

---

23. *HPS* 28 (pg. 46 above).

24. This conception of Scripture is common in the Syriac tradition. Both Ephrem and Aphrahat make similar claims about Scripture (e.g. Ephrem, *Commentary on the Diatessaron* 1.19, 7.22, and Aphrahat, *Demonstrations* 5.25, 22.26), and the anonymous *Book of Steps* actually applies this concept, differentiating the target audience of different biblical commands (e.g. *Book of Steps* 2.1-6 for some of the commands to the “Perfect” compared to some of the commands to the “Upright” in *Book of Steps* 7.1-2).

25. It is hard to say whether Mar John is actually alluding to 1 Corinthians 3:1-3 or Hebrews 5:12-14. The image is basically the same for both, so it does not change the meaning if the reader prefers one over the other. One could make the case that Hebrews 5:12-14 ought to be preferred due to the sheer number of Mar John’s clear references to the book of Hebrews. However, I have chosen to highlight both because preferring one over the other ultimately does not contribute to a better understanding of Mar John’s argument.
the Lord to “take up the crucifixion all day, and then follow [him].” This is an allusion to Luke 9:23, “All who want to come after me must say no to themselves, take up their cross daily, and follow me.” Mar John clearly sees this as a mandate for these “perfect” ones, whom he believes are called to a higher standard than the others. However, both groups find instruction within Scripture, and both groups are called to discipleship in definite ways.

After suggesting these two different paths, Mar John addresses the person who is caught in the middle. He is clearly addressing ascetics who are attempting to become perfect but have not quite separated themselves from their possessions. He reminds these people of Jesus’ command to the rich, young ruler in Matthew 19:21, “If you wish to be perfect, sell everything you have and give [it] to the poor, and take up your cross and follow me.” As far as Mar John is concerned, this command describes clearly the way that these people ought to live and behave themselves. In contrast to this, he describes the punishment of those who create this sort of unnatural division in their lives, attempting to be perfect and yet still grasping firmly onto possessions. Then, he exhorts his reader to remove any hindrances from his or her life, saying, “Let us draw the sharp sword, which is the word of God, and let us cut off and throw down from us all those burdens that are hindrances, so that nothing will debase us from the height of the Father of all.” The concept of the word of God as a sword can be found in both Ephesians 6:17 and Hebrews

26. HPS 28 (pg. 48 above).

27. HPS 29 (pg. 49 above); In short, although this appears to be a conflation of Matthew 19:21 and Matthew 16:24 (or Luke 9:23), it is actually a reading from the Old Syriac version of the New Testament. For more conversation on this, see footnote 75 on page 49.

28. HPS 31 (pg. 51 above).
4:12,\textsuperscript{29} and “throwing down from us all burdens” is an echo to Hebrews 12:1-2. This appeal to the word of God as a sword that can help to cut away things that hinder people from the pursuit of God is especially fitting in light of Mar John’s constant allusions to Scripture. He is calling for his readers to heed the words of Jesus and Scripture and change the way that they live their lives accordingly.

The fifth exhortation ends the same way it begins: with yet another doxology. The Lord is praised for his grace and mercy and asked for his continued blessing in the lives of the author and his audience. These praises and requests seem to have the two-fold intention of being a prayer, but also a reminder to the readers of the lengths that the Lord has already undergone on their behalf. To this end, Mar John’s doxology contains several parallel statements in which he asks the Lord to provide (or rather to continue providing) his grace, mercy, or love to himself and his readers. In these brief statements, Mar John provides a description of the trait, which rhetorically reminds the reader that this trait of the Lord is praiseworthy. For example, Mar John writes, “May your grace, Lord, which has given us rest in the life here, give us rest in the life there,”\textsuperscript{30} and “May your mercy, Lord, which caused you to be in need of that which belongs to us for the sake of our wealth, fulfill our needs with everything for the sake of your grace.”\textsuperscript{31}

\textsuperscript{29} An argument can be made for either of these as the allusion intended by Mar John. The construction translated as “sword…, which is the word of God” is identical to the Syriac of Ephesians 6:17. However, the word meaning “sharp” (ḥarīpāʾ) is used in both our text and the passage in Hebrews 4:12. Also, the reader might prefer the Hebrews passage due to the fact that the book of Hebrews is repeatedly cited and alluded to. Whatever the case, the concept of the word of God as a sword is pretty understandable and identical in both.

\textsuperscript{30} \textit{HPS} 33 (pg. 54 above).

\textsuperscript{31} \textit{HPS} 33 (pg. 54 above).
One of these parallel statements contains two references to Scripture: an echo of 2 Corinthians 8:9 and locution related to Colossians 2:2-3 and Ephesians 3:8. Mar John writes, “May your love, Lord, which made you poor when you were rich and [had] your wealth concealed in you, make our poverty rich by your promises.”

For the sake of comparison, 2 Corinthians 8:9 reads, “You know the grace of our Lord Jesus Christ. Although he was rich, he became poor for our sakes, so that you could become rich through his poverty.” This echo is interesting because Paul originally attributed this description to the grace (ṭaybūtā) of Jesus, not his love (ḥūbā), and he made no mention of “our poverty,” just Christ’s. Mar John seems to be relying on the concept and some of the language from this passage as a part of his prayer, but he makes his own definite alterations. No one will claim (nor should they) that Christ’s grace is the same as his love, but they are certainly connected, and not as separate as one might initially assume.

One could argue that the grace of Jesus flows directly out of his love and that his gracious activity is an expression of his love. Surely, both love and grace factored into the kenotic, incarnational activity of Christ expressed in this passage of Scripture. Evidently, Mar John believes this as well. The more interesting part is that he retains the “poverty” (“[Christ’s] poverty” – meskīnūteh) in the second half of the passage, but attaches a different possessor to it, making it “our poverty” (meskīnūtan). This new rendering doesn’t disagree with the previous meaning. Christ is still the one making people rich through his becoming poor, but in Mar John’s rendering, Christ enriches the poverty of his followers by his promises. Originally, this was a passage aimed at just describing the kenotic action of Christ, but through this change, it becomes a plea for Christ to bless.

---

32. *HPS* 33 (pg. 54-5 above).
specifically, the poverty of Mar John and his reader through his kenotic love. By doing this, he wraps Scripture around his plea, enhancing and elevating the prayer.

The locution related to Colossians 2:2-3 and Ephesians 3:8 is evident in Mar John’s mention of “wealth concealed in you” and “the sum of your wealth… hidden in you.” Both of these passages of Scripture deal with Christ’s wealth, with the first even describing “the treasures of wisdom and knowledge hidden in him.” There is not enough verbal correspondence in either passage to elevate this reference to a level higher than locution, but it seems evident that Mar John’s vocabulary is saturated with the language of Scripture. Therefore, it is highly likely that this concept entered into his vocabulary through passages such as these within Scripture. This language also gives him the opportunity to exhort the reader to imitation of Christ while still in the midst of prayer. He does this by comparing the wealth concealed in Christ to the “wealth of their promise,” which is hidden in them “by the hope of their faith concerning [Christ].”

Sixth Exhortation (§34 - §37)

The sixth and final exhortation has in mind a contrast between lay people who serve God in their giving of alms (because they have possessions to give) and the perfect, who imitate closely the example of Jesus. Mar John explains that for both groups, whoever is found to have the love of the Lord within them will give what they have for the sake of the needy. The contrast occurs in that while the lay person gives from his or her excess, the perfect will give even of his or her necessities. He argues that this is a more perfect response and that it is consistent with the command that Christ gave to his

33. *HPS* 33 (pg. 54-5 above).

34. *HPS* 33 (pg. 55 above).
apostles (in Luke 9:1-6) and with the imitation of Christ himself (2 Corinthians 8:9). This is the second reference to 2 Corinthians 8:9, with the first occurring as an echo just a couple paragraphs earlier (§33). Mar John alludes to this passage as the content of a section about the likeness of the Messiah. He writes, “For this is perfection: that the likeness of the Messiah, the Lord of all, be found in us. He took it upon himself to become poor, so that we might be made rich, and took our poverty that he might give us his wealth.” Unlike earlier, when he echoed this passage as part of a plea for the love of the Lord to enrich the poverty of himself and his readers, Mar John seems to be equating “our poverty” to the lowly state of humanity as compared to the “wealth” of divinity. This kenotic action of Christ is what Mar John calls these pursuers of perfection to imitate and emulate. Additionally, the fact that Paul couches this description in terms of “wealth” and “poverty” further helps Mar John’s argument, as he is able to call upon his readers to imitate Christ by this kenosis, but also by literal poverty. Also, in making this case, Mar John adapts Isaiah 53:4-5, claiming that the perfect share in Christ’s afflictions and that by their afflictions due to their poverty, they will bring relief to others as did Christ, he who “bore our sufferings, carried our sicknesses, and by whose wounds all of us are healed.”

Despite renouncing themselves and suffering in this way, the perfect, Mar John believes, also ought to strive to be the most renounced and most ascetic. To this end, he appeals to two examples of people in the Bible who were praised for their renunciation. The first of these examples is Moses, but instead of alluding to Exodus, Mar John is almost certainly echoing Hebrews 11:24-6, which describes the faithful descent of Moses

35. HPS 35 (pg. 57-8 above).
from a position of power and wealth among the Egyptians because “He thought the abuses he suffered for Christ were more valuable than the treasures of Egypt, since he was looking forward to the reward.” Mar John praises Moses in this same way, suggesting that he is a model of renunciation because he was born in absolute wealth, but “[chose] something for himself lower and more despised than all people,” since he had a mindset that was focused on future promises and blessings. Mar John encourages his readers to adopt that same mindset. The second example is of the widow with the two copper coins in Luke 21:1-4. She was said to give everything that she had, even though it was little, and because of the “readiness of her mind” and her willingness, Jesus prized her offering over the rest. Mar John encourages his readers to adopt this same mindset in their approach towards possessions, concluding the exhortation by asserting, “Therefore, let us pursue after the love of God, pulling ourselves away from everything and cleaving only to that Lord of all.”

**Commentary on Jesus’ Commands and Conclusion (§38 - §41)**

This final section of Mar John’s homily examines Jesus’ seemingly contradictory command to his disciples to acquire swords on the day of his arrest. His goal is to help the reader understand the circumstances for this command, explaining that it is not a universal command and therefore is not contrary to his previous commands, which call for renunciation. At the onset of this section, Mar John alludes generally to the fact that

---

36. *HPS* 36 (pg. 59 above).

37. This story also occurs in Mark 12:41-4, but since there is no evidence for favoring the Markan passage over the Lukan one and since Mar John seems to be favoring the Gospels of Matthew and Luke, not yet having referenced John or Mark, I am working off of the assumption that Luke 21:1-4 is the referenced passage here.

38. *HPS* 37 (pg. 62 above).
“sometimes [Jesus] had [his disciples] renounce everything.” 39 By doing this, he is able to allude to multiple passages of Scripture at the same time. For instance, Luke 5:11 and Luke 5:28 both provide examples of disciples leaving everything in response to the call of Jesus, the first telling the story of the calling of Peter, James, and John, and the second telling the story of the calling of Levi. In Luke 14:33, Jesus explains that “… none of you who are unwilling to give up all of your possessions can be my disciple.” Finally, Luke 9:3 (which Jesus himself actually alludes to in Luke 22:35 before he gives the command in question) provides another good example of this radical call to renunciation as the Lord calls his disciples to leave everything behind as he sends them out to proclaim the coming Kingdom of God. In doing this, the disciples leave behind any sort of provisions that might help them, making them completely helpless. Mar John points out, as Jesus himself does in Luke 22:35, that even though the apostles had nothing, neither did they lack anything. Mar John explains that Jesus wanted them to not rely on anything that they had, but on his assistance alone. Jesus desired that they understand their weakness and also his assistance. According to Mar John, sending them out completely without provisions would help them understand this concept, and the small encouragement that they got from having swords would not prevent them from experiencing utter fear and recognizing their weakness. Alluding to the zeal of Simon Peter in the story of Jesus’ arrest, as he attacked the opponents of Jesus, Mar John pointed out even further that the swords were useless to them and they ended up being fully aware of their weakness. In the end, this allowed Jesus to make them fully aware of and fully reliant on his aid.

39. HPS 38 (pg. 62 above).
Poverty as a Hermeneutical Lens

The *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* is saturated with references to Scripture. In many places throughout, Mar John cites or adapts biblical passages for the sake of his argument, and in others the allusions, echoes, or locutions are downplayed. Some of the references seem solely to exist because Mar John thinks and writes using the vocabulary of Scripture, and others are clearly purposeful. After one identifies and analyzes all of these references, it is apparent that Mar John is interpreting Scripture through the lens of poverty and renunciation. Every biblical reference within this homily has been read by Mar John through this lens, which in turn guides the interpretation of these passages and their rhetorical use.

This hermeneutical lens influences Mar John toward either using Scripture that directly relates to the use of possessions or creatively interpreting passages to speak to this topic. For example, Mar John cites Luke 16:13 (or Matthew 6:24), “You cannot serve both God and Mammon” because it is a passage that directly speaks to the proper orientation of a person towards both God and wealth. The reason for this citation is very clear and apparent. However, he also cites Luke 9:23, in which Jesus calls upon his disciples to deny themselves daily, take up their crosses, and follow him. He connects this to poverty in saying that the necessary sufferings which entail “taking up one’s cross” require renunciation as a prerequisite. Thus, when Christ calls his disciples to “deny” themselves there, he is implying some amount of renunciation and poverty. This interpretation is not as apparent to the modern reader, and it shows that Mar John’s lens by which he interprets Scripture for this homily is the important ascetic ideal of renunciation.
The entire thrust of the homily is an exhortation of the “perfect” to a life of poverty, and to this end, Mar John uses Scripture in three distinctive ways: 1) for providing exemplars for imitation, 2) for giving practical advice and wisdom, and 3) for justifying his point with an appeal to a higher authority. In several places, Mar John provides the reader an example of a Biblical figure to serve as an exemplar, worthy of imitation. In doing this, he relies heavily on Hebrews 11, the so-called “Hall of Faith,” to provide examples of sacrificial faith, especially that which involved suffering and renunciation. Mar John gives the examples of Moses, who went from being among the elite to the “most despised” (Hebrews 11:23-9), and the unnamed “others” from Hebrews 11:32-38, who were said to have become “needy, oppressed, and mistreated” because of their faith. Mar John turns also to Elijah, who was so reliant on God that he did not even possess his “daily bread,” but was fed by God through ravens and the generosity of a foreign widow. He exhorts the reader to imitate the widow in Luke 21:1-4, who gave the little that she had unto God. Finally and above all else, he calls these “perfect ones” to imitate Jesus, saying, “For this is perfection: that the likeness of the Messiah, the Lord of all, be found in us. He took it upon himself to become poor, so that we might be made rich, and took our poverty that he might give us his wealth.”

By appealing to Scripture, heroes of the faith, and the likeness of the Lord himself, Mar John not only adds to the authority of his argument, but he gives these practitioners of the faith concrete examples, so that the Word of God can be seen more easily among them.

In terms of actions, Mar John brings forth Scripture to provide practical advice and wisdom for those struggling “perfect ones.” Advice such as that is found in Luke

40. HPS 35 (pg. 57-8 above).
16:13 (or Matthew 6:24), “You cannot serve both God and Mammon,” and Luke 9:62 that a person cannot head towards perfection, turn back, and not be hindered in the process. His allusions to the parable of the workers at the vineyard (Matthew 20:1-16) and to the widow with the two coins (Luke 21:1-4) both help to show that renunciation, practically, has more to do with the willingness of one’s desire. The actions are important, but if someone has uprooted the “root of avarice” from his or her mind, then like a tilled garden, seeds of perfection can be planted into a person’s mind.\textsuperscript{41}

Furthermore, Mar John has Jesus speak to the reader as he did to the rich young ruler in Matthew 19:21, “If you wish to be perfect, sell everything you have and give [it] to the poor, and take up your cross and follow me.”\textsuperscript{42} Recalling that ascetics primarily interpreted Scripture by putting it into practice and that one’s holiness was defined “by how deeply [that] person allowed himself or herself to be transformed by the words of Scripture,”\textsuperscript{43} Mar John’s appeal to Scripture for practical advice and wisdom about renunciation would have been extremely compelling and effective.

Lastly, by citing Scripture (especially the words of Jesus himself), Mar John appeals to a higher authority, one that his fellow ascetics would certainly have respected. One obvious example is Mar John’s claim that Jesus intentionally put “Blessed are those who mourn…” after “Blessed are those who are poor in spirit…” in his teaching. In doing so, he appeals to the authority of Jesus as teacher and makes a case for renunciation as an important first step before a lifestyle of ascetic mourning. Additionally, this rhetorical use
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overlaps with the other strategies listed above. The understood authority of Jesus and the figures of the Bible make Mar John’s exhortations to their imitation effective. Also, practical wisdom and advice are only as credible as the source, which in many cases throughout the homily is the Lord himself. Furthermore, Mar John’s appeal to the authority of Christ and Scripture appears even more marked when one considers how little he appeals to other things, e.g. other textual authorities. In minor cases, he appeals to the logic of an argument as demonstrated through certain images and their conventional wisdom (e.g. a doctor practicing medicine or a swimmer coming out of the water), but generally, his main arguments and exhortations are based almost exclusively on Scripture. Therefore, this appeal to authority was certainly one of Mar John’s main uses of Scripture throughout.

**Conclusion**

This thesis offers an edition and translation of the *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* by John the Solitary. The introductory chapter sought to answer the question of who Mar John was. To this end, I wrote about the question of Mar John’s identity, first in comparison to others with whom he was falsely attributed, then as a Syrian ascetic from the fifth century. As I explained in that chapter, his influence on the Syriac spiritual tradition has been noted by several scholars over the last hundred years, but relatively little attention has been given to studying his material. Prior to this thesis, Mar John’s *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* had not been edited or translated, which makes this work an important contribution to the study of this influential author.

The *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* is an ascetic discourse, which is written to fellow ascetics, reminding them that the path to perfection and union with Christ is denial
of the world and the renunciation of property. Mar John encourages the reader throughout to give up his or her possessions, so that nothing would hold them back from fully cleaving to the Messiah. Due to the complex, cyclical nature of the argumentation, a consistent thread of argumentation is hard to follow. This difficulty is further compounded by Mar John’s writing style, as he transitions freely between abstract discourse, exhortation, and doxology. Overall, I have identified a structure, which begins with an introduction, followed by six exhortations to poverty and a brief commentary on a seemingly contradictory command of Jesus, ending with a doxological conclusion. Throughout all of these sections, Mar John has a heavy reliance on Scripture, which is consistent with his Syrian ascetic context. These references to Scripture reveal Mar John’s hermeneutical lens of poverty, which undergirds both his choice of various passages and his interpretation and use of the references throughout. These main uses are: 1) to provide exemplars, 2) to offer wisdom and advice, and 3) to appeal to the higher authority of Scripture and the Lord himself.

Overall, my hope is that this edition, translation, and Scriptural analysis of Mar John’s *Homily on the Poor in Spirit* would contribute to the major gap in knowledge of the study of John the Solitary. In order to increase in our knowledge of this author, the production of critical editions and translations of his various works is a necessity. Mar John has many other texts, which have been untouched by scholarship, and these also deserve further attention and study. Creating these editions and translations would allow for scholars to study Mar John more closely and compare his work to that of later authors, looking for more instances of influence, and for the church to benefit from the depth of his spiritual writings. Mar John is clearly an impressive spiritual writer, whose
words have the ability to somewhat transcend place and time, speaking to readers in much different contexts than his own. This is apparent in his acceptance by later Syriac writers of widely different theological backgrounds, but also in a cursory reading of his homily. Aside from the obvious benefit to the field of Syriac studies, I hope that the wisdom found in this homily might inspire Christians today to consider their use (or misuse) of possessions, as it inspired many Christians centuries ago.
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